© Oncology Nursing Society. Unauthorized reproduction, in part or in whole, is strictly prohibited. For permission to photocopy, post online, reprint, adapt, or otherwise reuse any or all content from this article, e-mail pubpermissions@ons.org. To purchase high-quality reprints, e-mail reprints@ons.org.

■ Article

Update on the Science of Myelodysplastic Syndromes

Jean A. Ridgeway, MSN, APN, NP-C, AOCN®, Lenora Fechter, RN, BSN, Cindy Murray, MN, NP-adult, and Nuria Borràs, RN

Scientific research is only just beginning to shed light on the pathobiology underlying the various subtypes of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), a heterogeneous group of clonal stem cell disorders characterized by cytopenias that can progress to acute myeloid leukemia. Increased understanding of the disease and prognostic implications of specific clinical features has aided in the development of prescribing guidelines and new treatments for MDS. Because oncology nurses have frequent interactions with patients during diagnostic and therapeutic evaluations, an understanding of the science behind disease classification, prognostic scoring, and the goals of treatment for low- and high-risk disease is important to answer questions regarding diagnostic results, treatment outcomes, and adverse event monitoring.

Jean A. Ridgeway, MSN, APN, NP-C, AOCN®, is a nurse practitioner in the Adult Hematologic Malignancies/Stem Cell Transplant Program at the University of Chicago Medical Center in Illinois; Lenora Fechter, RN, BSN, is a nurse coordinator at Stanford Hematology and Stanford MDS Center for Excellence in California; Cindy Murray, MN, NP-adult, is a nurse practitioner in malignant hematology at University Health Network, Princess Margaret Hospital, in Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and Nuria Borràs, RN, is the head nurse in the hematology and stem cell transplantation unit at Hospital Clinic in Barcelona, Spain**;** and all are writing on behalf of the MDS Foundation International Nurse Leadership Board. The authors received editorial support from Stacey Garrett, PhD, of MediTech Media, which was funded by Celgene Corporation, and from Sandra E. Kurtin, RN, MS, AOCN®, ANP-C. The authors are fully responsible for the content of and editorial decisions about this article and received no financial support for its development. Celgene Corporation provided funding for the publication of this article but had no influence on its content. The content of this article has been reviewed by independent peer reviewers to ensure that it is balanced, objective, and free from commercial bias. No financial relationships relevant to the content of this article have been disclosed by the independent peer reviewers or editorial staff. Ridgeway can be reached at jridgeway@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu, with copy to editor at CJONEditor@ons.org. (Submitted January 2012. Accepted for publication January 29, 2012.)

Digital Object Identifier:10.1188/12.CJON.S1.9-22

yelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) represent a
group of myeloid malignancies characterized
by cytopenias of one or more blood lineages
(Kurtin & Demakos, 2010). The heterogeneity
of the clinical presentation, disease trajec-
t yelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) represent a group of myeloid malignancies characterized by cytopenias of one or more blood lineages (Kurtin & Demakos, 2010). The heterogeneity of the clinical presentation, disease trajeca precise diagnostic evaluation (see Table 1). Cytogenetic and diagnostic staging advances have resulted in refinement of MDS prognostic staging systems that better evaluate the expected disease trajectory and risk of leukemic transformation. The clinical presentation and diagnostic evaluation provide the foundation for therapeutic selection. This article focuses on recent advances in diagnostics, refinements of common prognostic staging systems, and new clinical data on the natural history of MDS as well as the goals of available therapeutic treatments.

Diagnostic Advances: Cytogenetic and Molecular Attributes

Initially, diagnosis of MDS focused solely on cell morphology and blast counts (National Comprehensive Cancer Network

[NCCN], 2011). Advances in karyotypic analysis have demonstrated that MDS is characterized by multiple cytogenetic defects that affect diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment (Garcia-Manero, 2010; Haase et al., 2007). Conventional metaphase cytogenetic analysis is the gold standard in karyotypic analysis in hematology; the analysis typically examines 20 actively dividing cells in metaphase to identify chromosomal abnormalities. Use of metaphase cytogenetic analysis to study a large cohort of untreated patients revealed that the survival of patients with cytogenetic abnormalities was significantly shorter than those with normal cytogenetics, and a greater number of abnormalities were associated with shorter survival (Haase et al., 2007). In addition, certain abnormalities were associated with better or worse clinical outcomes (see Table 2). However, patients with normal karyotypes (as determined by metaphase analysis) had a wide variability in clinical outcomes.

Because metaphase cytogenetic analysis cannot detect abnormalities in nondividing cells, new technologies have been developed to enhance sensitivity of karyotype analysis (Tiu, Visconte, Traina, Schwandt, & Maciejewski, 2011). The singlenucleotide polymorphism array overcomes this limitation; it detects copy number alterations below the limit of standard metaphase cytogenetic analysis detection and identifies abnormalities in nondividing cells (Gondek et al., 2008; Maciejewski & Mufti, 2008). Use of a single-nucleotide polymorphism array allows for the identification of abnormalities in specific genes that have prognostic significance, some of which have demonstrated differential responses to certain therapies (Graubert, 2011; Tiu et al., 2011). For example, the *TET2* gene produces an enzyme that affects the DNA methylation state, and its dysregulation may have a role in epigenetic alterations in MDS (Garcia-Manero, 2010). A small study ($N = 13$) showed that mutated *TET2* was an

TABLE 1. Diagnostic Evaluation of MDS Diagnostic Study Clinical Significance Medical history and medication profile Document onset of suspicious symptoms, acute episodes of illness, and prior transfusion history. Review medication profile to identify any potential medication-induced cytopenias; comorbid conditions and effective management may play a critical role in determining potential therapies. Peripheral Blood Complete blood count, differential, platelet count, reticulocyte count Evaluate for presence of cytopenias, peripheral blasts, morphologic abnormalities, and bone marrow response to anemia. Serum iron, ferritin, TIBC, folic acid, vitamin B_{12} Evaluate for other possible causes of anemia. Lactate dehydrogenase, haptoglobin, antiglobulin Evaluate for possible underlying hemolysis. Serum erythropoietin Baseline evaluation of levels to determine role for growth factors versus active therapies in patient needing treatment Other Laboratory Studies Thyroid profile **Immunomodulatory agents may be associated with hypothy**roidism, which can contribute to anemia. Serum testosterone **Hypogonadism is associated with fatigue and may be effec**tively treated. Renal and hepatic profile Many MDS treatments may have renal and hepatic toxicities or may be affected by renal or hepatic insufficiencies. Bone Marrow Aspirate should include spicules and be cellular enough to assess 500 cells or more Used for flow cytometry, FISH analysis, and cytogenetics to determine dysplasia, blast percentage, and number of monocytes, ringed sideroblasts, and atypical megakaryocytes. Results allow for FAB/WHO classification. Biopsy (adequate size for evaluation is 1–2 cm) Evaluate cellularity, topography, presence of atypical localization of immature precursors (considered a poor prognostic finding), exclusion of other bone marrow disorders, or bone marrow infiltration by solid tumors. Cytogenetics Evaluation for possible nonrandom chromosomal abnormalities More than two metaphases from 20 samples with the same abnormality are considered nonrandom. FAB—French-American-British; FISH—fluorescent in situ hybridization; MDS—myelodysplastic syndromes; TIBC—total iron-binding capacity; WHO—World Health Organization

Note. From "Myelodysplastic Syndromes: Diagnosis, Treatment Planning, and Clinical Management," by S.E. Kurtin, 2007, Oncology (Williston Park), 21(11, Suppl. Nurse Ed.), p. 42. Copyright 2007 by UBM Medica. Reprinted with permission.

independent prognostic factor for increased response rate to azacitidine therapy (Itzykson et al., 2011). In contrast, mutation of the tumor suppressor gene (TSG) *TP53* is an independent predictor of poor prognosis and inferior response to hypomethylating agents and the immunomodulatory agent lenalidomide (Jadersten et al., 2009, 2011; Link, Baer, James, Jones, & Karpf, 2008). Flow cytometry (FC) immunophenotyping provides an additional tool for characterization of MDS clones. FC is used to define hematologic disorders on the basis of quantitative and/or qualitative cell receptor or internal protein expression. Several

> studies examining FC as an MDS diagnostic tool have indicated the need for additional refinement and standardization of quantification measures before incorporating FC into the routine diagnostic evaluation of MDS (Westers et al., 2011). Despite lack of consensus on the appropriate diagnostic parameters, CD34-related parameters are good candidates because the CD34-positive stem cell compartment in MDS is altered.

> Detailed diagnostic testing knowledge can aid nurses when counseling patients. Many patients have acquired sophisticated knowledge about their disease from the Internet and may request tests that have not been proven clinically relevant. In addition, knowledge of the science behind cytogenetic and molecular testing can aid explanations for treatment delays while waiting for testing to become available.

Classification and Prognostic Scoring Systems

Two primary MDS diagnostic classification systems are in use: the French-American-British (FAB) system, based on morphology and blast percentage, and the World Health Organization (WHO) system, with the addition of cytogenetics (Bennett et al., 1982; Vardiman et al., 2009) (see Table 3). These morphology-based systems have come under scrutiny. Analysis of 915 patients referred to MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) from 2005 to 2009 showed a discordance in diagnosis of MDS between the referring practice and MDACC in 16% of patients (150 of 915) (Naqvi et al., 2010). The data underscore the diagnostic complexity of MDS, value of expert hematopathologists, current morphologybased disease classification limitations, and MDS diagnostic discrepancies between referral and tertiary care centers. More recently, prognostic models have been developed to estimate prognosis and risk of leukemic transformation. The International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) categorized four groups of previously untreated patients based on a scoring method involving cytopenias, cytogenetics, and percentage of blasts in the bone marrow (Greenberg et al., 1997) (see Table 4). Median survival time and the time to

TABLE 2. Cytogenetic Abnormalities by Associated Clinical Outcome Based on the MDS Cytogenetic Scoring System

transformation to acute myeloid leukemia (AML) consistently decreased as IPSS score increased (see Table 5). Two important limitations of the IPSS method are that it underemphasizes the impact of cytogenetics and is designed for use only at initial diagnosis (Garcia-Manero, 2011). Based on observations that

TABLE 3. MDS Classification Systems

transfusion dependence (TD) was an independent prognostic factor for lower-risk IPSS subgroups, the WHO Prognostic Scoring System (WPSS) was developed to incorporate WHO subgroups, IPSS cytogenetics, and red blood cell transfusion requirements (Malcovati et al., 2007). Because this model was designed to be used at any time during followup, it can be used for implementing risk-adapted treatment strategies at any point after diagnosis. In particular, the WPSS is useful in identifying patients with low-risk disease who may benefit from early disease-modifying treatment (Navada & Silverman, 2011). However, the WPSS has two key limitations: lack of ability to directly account for cytopenias other than anemia and inability to be applied to patients who lack a WHO subtype description (Komrokji et al., 2010; Komrokji, Zhang, & Bennett, 2010). The MDACC developed a prognostic scoring system that does not rely on WHO scoring and can be used to determine prognosis at any time during the course of MDS (Kantarjian et al., 2008) (see Tables 4 and 5).

In addition, Greenberg et al. (2011) are currently developing a revised IPSS (IPSS-R) system based on a database of more than 7,000 patients. The IPSS-R will include five risk groups (very low, low, intermediate, high, and very high) with estimated overall survival and risk of AML transformation (Greenberg

BM—bone marrow; CML—chronic myeloid leukemia; CMML—chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; FAB—French-American-British; JMML—juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia; MDS—myelodysplastic syndromes; MPD—myeloproliferative disorder; PB—peripheral blood; RAEB—refractory anemia with excess blasts; RARS—refractory anemia with RS; RCMD—refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia; RCUD—refractory cytopenia with unilineage dysplasia; RS—ringed sideroblasts

Note. From "Myelodysplastic Syndromes Classification and Risk Stratification," by R.S. Komrokji, L. Zhang, and J.M. Bennet, 2010, Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America, 24, p. 446. Copyright 2010 by Elsevier. Adapted with permission.

et al., 2011). The International Working Group on Prognosis in MDS continues to refine the attributes of each risk group.

Understanding the advantages and limitations of the various diagnostic, staging, classification, and prognostic systems is

TABLE 4. Risk-Stratification Models in MDS Variable Score International Prognostic Scoring System **Bone marrow blast (%)** Less than 5 5–10 11–20 21–30 **Karyotype^a** Good Intermediate Poor **Cytopenia^b** 0/1 2/3 0 0.5 1.5 2 0 0.5 1 0 0.5 World Health Organization Prognostic Scoring System **World Health Organization category** RA, RARS, del(5q) RCMD, RCMD-RS RAEB-1 RAEB-2 **Karyotype^a** Good Intermediate Poor **Transfusion dependence^c** Yes No 0 1 2 3 0 1 $\overline{}$ 1 Ω MD Anderson Cancer Center **Platelets (× 10⁹ /L)** Less than 30 30–49 50–199 **Age (years)** 60–64 65 or older **Bone marrow blasts (%)** 5–10 11–29 **Performance status (2 or greater) Hemoglobin (less than 12 g/dl) White blood cell count (greater than 20 x 10⁹ /L) Chromosome 7 or complex karyotype Transfusion** 3 2 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 3 1

^a Good cytogenetics includes normal, -Y, del(5q), del(20q); intermediate includes other karyotypic abnormalities; poor includes complex (three or more abnormalities) or chromosome 7 abnormalities.

b Hemoglobin less than 10 g/dl; absolute neutrophil count less than 1,800/mcl; platelets less than 100,000/mcl

c Transfusion dependence was defined as having one or more red blood cell transfusions every eight weeks over a period of four months.

MDS—myelodysplastic syndromes; RA—refractory anemia; RAEB—RA with excess blasts; RCMD—refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia; RS—ringed sideroblasts

Note. Based on information from Kantarjian et al., 2008; National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2011.

necessary to answer patients' questions as well as to facilitate diagnostic testing and treatment decisions. Those systems continue to evolve, incorporating new data on the biology and natural history of MDS, and to better reflect current clinical practice. More than one system may be used in a setting based on the advantages and limitations of the various systems, particularly with respect to patients with low-risk disease.

Natural History and Goals of Treatment

MDS have heterogeneous natural histories and disease trajectories, including survival and risk of leukemic transformation (Greenberg, 2010). Two primary subgroups have been identified: lower-risk disease (IPSS low-risk and intermediate-1–risk categories) and higher-risk disease (IPSS intermediate-2– and high-risk categories). Therapy for low-risk MDS aims to treat symptoms by reducing cytopenias and transfusion requirements, whereas the goal for patients with high-risk disease is to prolong time to leukemic transformation and overall survival. In both cases, altering the natural history of the disease is necessary to achieve these outcomes. Table 6 lists regional drug approval regulatory agencies, Table 7 details the international approval status of the agents commonly used to treat MDS, and Table 8 summarizes key registrational trial outcomes for commonly approved agents.

In the United States, the NCCN is a common source for clinical practical guidelines, including MDS (NCCN, 2011). The NCCN's clinical practice guidelines for MDS are written and regularly updated by a panel of experts who review current evidencebased literature and drugs approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of MDS. Guidelines for drug approval and subsequent treatment recommendations vary worldwide. However, similarities between the United States and other countries exist in the decision-making process for treatment, role of supportive care, and use of specific drugs in MDS. Importantly, best supportive care (BSC) is appropriate for all patients throughout the disease continuum. According to the NCCN guidelines, BSC for patients with MDS includes clinical monitoring, psychosocial support, quality of life (QOL) assessment, transfusion of blood products, antibiotics, bleeding prophylaxis, iron chelation, and cytokine administration (NCCN, 2011). These topics are discussed in detail in the Thomas, Crisp, and Campbell (2012) and Shah, Kurtin, Arnold, Lindroos-Kolqvist, and Tinsley (2012) articles in this supplement.

Therapeutic Strategies for Low-Risk Myelodysplastic Syndromes

The goal for low-risk MDS treatment is management of the symptomatic cytopenias and concomitant symptoms (e.g., fatigue). Packed red blood cell transfusion is a common treatment strategy for anemia (Greenberg, 2010). Because of the negative impact of long-term TD (e.g., iron overload, negative impact on QOL, transfusion-related complications), use of erythroidstimulating agents (ESAs) to improve anemia has been integrated into the treatment of low-risk MDS (Greenberg, 2010). Analysis of 1,000 patients with low- and intermediate-1–risk MDS from the European LeukemiaNet Registry demonstrated

TABLE 5. Prognostic Outcomes of Common Risk-Stratification Models

World Health Organization Prognostic Scoring System^c

MD Anderson Cancer Center^d

a Used only at initial prognosis for planning purposes

b Data generated prior to availability of disease-modifying therapies

^cCan be used at any point in disease for dynamic prognostic estimation

d Accounts for disease duration and prior therapy

AML—acute myeloid leukemia; Int—intermediate; NR—not reported; OS—overall survival

Note. Based on information from Kantarjian et al., 2008; National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 2011.

that patients with TD had significantly shorter overall survival and progression-free survival compared with transfusion-independent patients (p < 0.0001) (De Swart et al., 2011). Additional factors associated with overall survival included high ferritin levels and IPSS scores.

Erythropoiesis Agents and Other Growth Factors

In the United States, treatment with an ESA (with or without granulocyte colony–stimulating factor) is recommended for patients with MDS lacking del(5q) with serum erythropoietin levels less than 500 mU/ml and who require fewer than two units of packed red blood cell transfusions per month (Greenberg, 2010). The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) conducted a prospective, randomized, controlled phase III trial of erythropoietin with or without granulocyte colony–stimulating factor and BSC ($n = 53$) versus BSC alone ($n = 57$) in patients with lower-risk MDS (Greenberg et al., 2009). The erythroid

response rate in the erythropoietin-only arm was 36% versus 9.6% for BSC alone, demonstrating better outcomes with ESAs versus BSC alone; granulocyte colony–stimulating factor was added to erythropoietin and induced an additional response for six patients, for an overall response rate of 46.6%. Erythroid response was associated with improved patient-reported QOL and improved survival compared with nonresponders. No differences in incidence of AML transformation were identified in this study (Greenberg et al., 2009).

In March 2007 and 2008, the FDA announced safety warnings for the use of ESAs (Greenberg, 2010; Rizzo et al., 2010). An increased risk of mortality, inferior response to treatment, and incidence of thromboembolic events were observed in patients without MDS receiving ESAs when dosing to targeted hemoglobin levels less than 12 g/dl. Although no studies in patients with MDS have demonstrated a deleterious impact of ESAs on survival or AML transformation, the data emphasize the importance of hemoglobin monitoring for patients receiving ESAs with the goal of achieving hemoglobin levels of 12 g/dl or less. Guidelines for ESA use with MDS are available online and have been published (NCCN, 2011; Rizzo et al., 2010).

Thrombopoiesis agents: Thrombocytopenia remains a challenge when managing patients with MDS and can result in lifethreatening bleeding events. Guidelines for platelet transfusions are set by individual institutions, but less than 10,000 platelets per mcl is a common transfusion threshold (Kurtin, 2007). Platelet transfusions have short-term efficacy, and chronic use can lead to alloimmunization. As a result, thrombopoiesis–stimulating agents currently approved for use in idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura have been evaluated in MDS clinical trials for impact on thrombocytopenia.

The thrombopoietin receptor agonists eltrombopag and romiplostim have shown efficacy in treating thrombocytopenia in patients with MDS (Kantarjian et al., 2010; Wroblewski, Shi, Mudd, & Aivado, 2010). Romiplostim promotes thrombopoiesis through activation of the thrombopoietin receptor. Despite positive results showing platelet responses in 88% of patients in a long-term open-label extension study, romiplostim is not recommended for use in patients with MDS following the results of a randomized phase III clinical trial demonstrating increased risk of AML progression in the romiplostim arm compared with placebo (Fenaux, Kantarjian, et al., 2011; Giagounidis et al., 2011). Risk of progression was higher for patients with refractory anemia with excess blasts 1 (RAEB-1) MDS compared with lower-risk subtypes. Eltrombopag also binds to the thrombopoietin receptor (Wroblewski et al., 2010). Currently, a phase I/II study of eltrombopag conducted in a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled fashion is accruing adult patients with MDS.

Immunomodulatory Agents

Lenalidomide: Approved in 2005 in the United States for the treatment of TD anemia in patients with lower-risk MDS with a del(5q) abnormality, lenalidomide is a novel immunomodulatory agent

Guidelines for Use of Erythroid-Stimulating Agents

Guidelines for erythroid-stimulating agents for use with myelodysplastic syndromes are available online at www.esa-apprise.com.

TABLE 6. International Approval Agencies for Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS) Therapies

with direct cytotoxic activity against the del(5q) MDS clone and also enhances erythropoiesis by stimulating expression of the fetal hemoglobin gene (Heise, Carter, Schafer, & Chopra, 2010). Multiple trials have demonstrated that treatment with lenalidomide induced cytogenetic responses and durable transfusion independence in patients with IPSS lower-risk del(5q) MDS (Fenaux, Giagounidis, et al., 2009; List et al., 2006). Adverse events requiring monitoring and potential intervention included neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, thrombosis, rash, pruritus, and fatigue.

Immunosuppressive Agents

and Drug Administration, 2012.

Impaired immune function has been implicated in MDS pathogenesis (Sloand & Barrett, 2010). Studies showing improvements in patients with MDS treated with immunosuppressive drugs have suggested that the apoptosis of bone marrow cells observed during early MDS may be the result of immune attack rather than solely the result of intrinsic genetic alterations (Molldrem et al., 1998; Saunthararajah et al., 2002; Sloand, Wu, Greenberg, Young, & Barrett, 2008). Although not approved in the United States for treatment of MDS, several immunosuppressive agents, including cyclosporine, antithymocyte globulin (ATG), and alemtuzumab, have been used off-label for the treatment of MDS and are still under investigation.

Cyclosporine is an immunosuppressant commonly used in organ transplantation to inhibit immune rejection. An early

study with cyclosporine for the treatment of patients with refractory anemia (RA) and variable marrow cellularity resulted in responses and transfusion independence in 82% of patients (n = 17) (Jonasova et al., 1998). Cyclosporine is generally well tolerated in the low doses used for the treatment of MDS, although a risk exists for renal toxicity that may require treatment discontinuation.

ATG, used to treat aplastic anemia as well as selected subtypes of MDS, is an immunosuppressive, T cell–depleting agent that exists in two forms: rabbit and equine (Sloand & Barrett, 2010). Both forms deplete T cells; however, equine ATG has a transient effect, whereas rabbit ATG induces prolonged immunosuppression. Factors associated with positive responses to ATG therapy in patients with MDS include younger age (60 years or younger), shorter duration of disease, human leukocyte antigen (HLA)- DR15 genotype, and hypocellular disease (Passweg et al., 2011; Saunthararajah et al., 2002; Sloand et al., 2008). A nonrandomized phase II clinical trial is ongoing to develop an immune-guided response signature to evaluate the efficacy of immunosuppressive agents in MDS (Epling-Burnette, List, & Komrokji, 2011). This study confirmed the prognostic impact of HLA-DR15 in the 21 patients currently evaluated as well as the shorter duration of disease combined with T cell activation markers. The results suggest that the immunosuppressive intervention of T cell–mediated bone marrow failure may be most effective early in the disease before widespread bone marrow damage has occurred. Common side effects include fever, chills, and myalgia. ATG requires specific administration guidelines because of the potential for hypersensitivity reactions and serum sickness and is most often administered in the inpatient setting (Bevans & Shalabi, 2004).

Alemtuzumab is an antibody to the CD52 receptor found on many mature immune cells, including T and B cells, with demonstrated activity in patients with lower-risk MDS (Sloand et al., 2010). Results from a nonrandomized phase II study of alemtuzumab in patients meeting criteria for immunosuppressive therapy showed responses in 77% of patients listed as intermediate-1 (17 of 22) and 57% of those listed as intermediate-2 (4 of 7). In addition, 40% of patients with TD (10 of 25) achieved transfusion independence by three months, and 78% of responders (7 of 9) remained transfusion independent at one year. Alemtuzumab may be beneficial to a wider population of patients with MDS compared with ATG because age and bone marrow cellularity are not predictors for responses. However, it does result in more prolonged lymphopenia than ATG, although no reactivation of herpes viral infections was observed.

Therapeutic Strategies for High-Risk Disease

Patients with higher-risk MDS (IPSS intermediate-2 or high) who have a reasonable performance status (ECOG 0–2) with adequate organ function should be considered for treatment (Blum, 2010). Without intervention, the median overall survival of patients with higher-risk MDS varies from 4 to 12 months (Greenberg et al., 1997). The primary goal of treatment for high-risk MDS is prolonged survival (Garcia-Manero & Fenaux, 2011). As the only proven cure for MDS, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT) should be considered for transplantation-eligible patients with high-risk disease. Hypomethylating agents are an option for patients ineligible for transplantation.

Hypermethylation of DNA results in gene silencing and has been associated with tumorigenesis (Esteller, 2008). Reduced TSG expression has been demonstrated in MDS and is correlated to areas of hypermethylated DNA (Figueroa et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2009). The data support the theory that the pathophysiology of MDS is partly from hypermethylation and aberrant TSG silencing. Two hypomethylating agents are available in the United States: 5-azacytidine (azacitidine) and 5-aza-2-deoxycytidine (decitabine). Both agents are believed to lead to re-expression of silenced genes and are used in the treatment of all MDS risk groups (Yoo & Jones, 2006).

Azacitidine is approved in the United States for the treatment of FAB subtypes of RA, RA with ringed sideroblasts, RAEB, RAEB in transformation, and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, and in Spain, Australia, and Canada for IPSS intermediate-2– and high-risk MDS. Two phase III trials have demonstrated that azacitidine improved hematologic responses as well as prolonged overall survival and time to AML progression (Fenaux, Mufti, et al., 2009; Silverman et al., 2002) (see Table 8). Importantly, azacitidine resulted in a survival benefit in virtually every FAB category in patients with higher-risk MDS compared with conventional care regimens (Fenaux, Mufti, et al., 2009). Adverse events requiring monitoring and potential intervention included neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, leukopenia, injection site reactions, and infection.

The recommended dose and schedule for azacitidine is 75 mg/m² subcutaneously for seven days, every four weeks, based on improved overall survival demonstrated in the AZA-001 trial using this dosing regimen (Blum, 2010; Fenaux, Mufti, et al., 2009). Although alternative dosing schedules have been used and investigated for logistical reasons, data have failed to show the same survival benefits in high-risk MDS as the standard dosing strategy (Blum, 2010; Lyons et al., 2009). First responses were observed within six cycles of azacitidine therapy in 91% of patients and can improve in time (Silverman et al., 2011). Therefore, current recommendations are to administer azacitidine as long as the patient receives clinical benefit in the absence of toxicity for at least six cycles.

A multicenter phase I trial evaluated the efficacy of an oral azacitidine formulation for the treatment of MDS ($n = 29$; 14 lower risk, 14 higher risk, 1 unclassified), AML ($n = 8$), and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia $(n = 4)$ and demonstrated that the oral route was associated with significantly less exposure and induced significant methylation alterations in fewer gene loci compared with subcutaneous administration (Garcia-Manero et al., 2011). However, oral azacitidine was efficacious, with clinical responses observed in 73% of previously untreated patients $(n = 15)$, including a 40% rate of complete remissions. Duration of responses ranged from 30 to 483 days. The data demonstrate both biologic and clinical activity of oral azacitidine with adequate bioavailability relative to subcutaneous delivery.

Decitabine was approved by the FDA for the treatment of de novo and secondary MDS of all FAB subtypes and IPSS-defined intermediate-1–, intermediate-2–, and high-risk MDS (Super-Gen, Inc., 2010). Studies have shown that decitabine significantly improved response rates compared with BSC, prolonged progression-free survival, and improved QOL. However, there has yet to be a significant overall survival benefit (Kantarjian et al., 2007; Lubbert et al., 2011) (see Table 8).

The original recommended dose and schedule for decitabine was 15 mg/m² via IV for three hours repeated every eight hours for three days, repeated every six weeks (SuperGen, Inc., 2010). Subsequently, a randomized trial evaluated the efficacy of three alternative dosing schedules and found that 20 mg/m^2 via IV one hour daily for five days every four weeks resulted in the

TABLE 7. Internationally Approved Therapeutic Strategies for MDS

Note. From "Current Therapeutic Approaches for Patients With Myelodysplastic Syndromes," by P.L. Greenberg, 2010, British Journal of Haematology, 150, p. 133. Copyright 2010 by John Wiley and Sons. Adapted with permission.

highest response rate (Kantarjian et al., 2007). The Alternative Dosing for Outpatient Treatment trial further evaluated this dose in a multicenter setting in patients with any FAB subtype of MDS with IPSS scores of 0.5 or greater and found that this dose was the optimal decitabine dosing regimen based on improved efficacy, scheduling convenience, and similar response rates and survival outcomes as those observed in the

TABLE 8. Outcomes of Key Trials for Approved Agents for Treatment of MDS

standard dosing regimen (Steensma et al., 2009). Both of these decitabine dosing schedules are approved by the FDA.

Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Currently, the only potential cure for MDS is an allogeneic HCT (Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant

^a With 10% bone marrow blasts and white blood cell count less than 13 x 10⁹ cells/L

AE—adverse events; AML—acute myeloid leukemia; CMML—chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; DOR—duration of response; EORTC—European Organisation for the Research and Treatment of Cancer; FAB—French-American-British; Int—intermediate; IPSS—International Prognostic Scoring System; MDS—myelodysplastic syndromes; PFS—progression-free survival; QOL—quality of life; RAEB—refractory anemia with excess blasts; RAEB-t—RAEB with transformation; RBC—red blood cell; TD—transfusion dependence; TI—transfusion independence

EGFR—epidermal growth factor receptor; GST P1-1—glutathione S-transferase P1-1; Int—intermediate; MDS—myelodysplastic syndromes; mTOR mammalian target of rapamycin; PI3K—phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; Tie-2—protein receptor tyrosine kinase (epithelial-specific)

Research, 2011). However, most patients with MDS are not eligible for HCT because of advanced age, presence of significant comorbidities, and/or lack of a compatible donor.

The exact timing and optimal conditioning regimen for HCT have yet to be determined and are controversial topics of discussion for patients and healthcare providers (Cutler, 2010). Transplantation has many uncertainties, but the inevitability of MDS disease progression in high-risk disease often forces the decision of HCT to one of patient preference. Although improved outcomes with early transplantation have repeatedly been demonstrated, an inherent bias exists in these analyses because the included patients often represented the best transplantation candidates by disease status, overall health, or other unmeasurable factors (Cutler, 2010). Also, the majority of analyses that have examined the timing of transplantation for MDS have included myeloablative procedures rather than reduced-intensity procedures. Optimal timing of HCT for patients with MDS based on data from several large, nonoverlapping databases recommends the following for patients age 60 or younger (Cutler et al., 2004): delay transplantation until the time of leukemic progression for low- and intermediate-1–risk IPSS disease categories and provide immediate HLA-matched transplantation for intermediate-2– and high-risk IPSS scores.

Because high treatment-related mortality remains a barrier for widespread use of allogeneic HCT, trials and analyses are ongoing to better define the populations of patients with MDS who obtain the most benefit from this procedure. A retrospective analysis of 291 patients with MDS undergoing allogeneic HCT from the Spanish MDS registry found that high-risk cytogenetics, IPSS status pretransplantation, and therapeutic response pretransplantation had statistical impact on survival outcomes (Díez Campelo et al., 2011). The overall survival rate after 2.6 years of follow-up was 33%, and infection (61%) represented the largest cause of transplantation-related mortality (41%).

Unfortunately, trials evaluating reduced-intensity conditioning regimens to limit transplantation-related mortality have shown an increased risk of relapse and leukemic transformation (Cutler, 2010). Additional investigation is necessary to identify patient populations with the best potential for benefit as well as approaches to reduce treatment-associated mortality.

Hypomethylating agents have been used as a bridge to transplantation to achieve disease control before HCT. In a study of 18 patients who received decitabine followed by HCT, successful engraftments were attained in 17 of 18 patients (Jang & Lee, 2011). Importantly, the data suggested that decitabine responders had better survival outcomes compared with nonresponders and that bridging HCT therapy with decitabine should be investigated in additional clinical trials.

Ongoing Clinical Trials and Nursing Support

Ongoing clinical trials are critical for the advancement of treatment of MDS given that no cure is available for the majority of patients with MDS. Most patients with MDS will ultimately require additional treatments to prolong survival and/or time to leukemic progression. Currently, patients who fail treatment with hypomethylating agents have a short median overall survival, underscoring the need for research into novel therapies (Garcia-Manero, 2011).

Clinical research nurses are vital for ongoing research. Research nurse coordinators assist in identifying prospective clinical trial candidates, conducting informed consent, ensuring adherence to rigorous testing schedules, patient compliance, data management, and upholding the ethical care of study participants.

Although the trial patient is managed closely by the research nurse coordinator, inpatient or outpatient clinic nurses or infusion nurses may assume hands-on responsibility for the daily care of study patients. Their tasks may include monitoring vital signs, administration of the investigational drug, and performance of study-related procedures such as blood draws and electrocardiograms. Familiarity with the study design, drug profile, and administration requirements are critical to ensure safety. Being involved in the development of an investigational drug that offers hope for patients, particularly those with limited treatment options, can be one of the great rewards of nursing.

A number of agents are under investigation for the treatment of patients with high-risk MDS as well as patients who have failed or relapsed on prior hypomethylating agent therapy. Table 9 summarizes these agents, their mechanisms of action, and clinical response rates. As more is learned about the differences in biology of MDS-risk subsets, research on the next generation of therapies is focusing on specific molecular targets and will provide the foundation for the use of rational combinations of novel therapies (List, 2011).

Conclusion

MDS, regardless of risk type, is a complex disease, and research is only beginning to illuminate the various underlying genetic components. As science continues to elucidate the differences among subtypes as well as define new screening criteria,

Implications for Practice

- \blacktriangleright Advances in the diagnostic process and refinement of classification, and prognostic scoring systems have provided a framework for risk-adapted treatment selection for myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS).
- \blacktriangleright The therapeutic goal for low-risk MDS is to improve hematopoiesis and survival by altering the natural history of disease, whereas the goal for high-risk MDS treatment is survival and prolonged time to leukemic transformation.
- \triangleright Oncology nurses play a critical role in the care of patients with MDS, including through support of clinical trials that are adding to the understanding of the pathobiology and treatment of MDS.

risk stratification systems will continue to evolve and become more accurate. In addition, identification of new therapeutic agents will result in changing treatment paradigms. Therefore, nurses involved in caring for patients with MDS must remain current in their knowledge of this rapidly evolving field to advise and manage patients. In addition to this review, recent nursing publications provide excellent strategies for managing disease- and treatment-related complications associated with MDS (Kurtin & Demakos, 2010). Kurtin and Demakos (2010) identified the contributing role of these effects on the disease burden for patients with MDS. QOL of the patient with MDS, as well as resources for patients and their caregivers, are discussed in detail in Thomas et al. (2012) and Kurtin et al. (2012) in this supplement.

References

- Bennett, J.M., Catovsky, D., Daniel, M.T., Flandrin, G., Galton, D.A., Gralnick, H.R., & Sultan, C. (1982). Proposals for the classification of the myelodysplastic syndromes. *British Journal of Haematology, 51,* 189–199.
- Bevans, M.F., & Shalabi, R.A. (2004). Management of patients receiving antithymocyte globulin for aplastic anemia and myelodysplastic syndrome. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 8,* 377–382. doi:10.1188/04.CJON.377-382
- Blum, W. (2010). How much? How frequent? How long? A clinical guide to new therapies in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Hematology American Society of Hematology Education Program,* 314–321. doi:10.1182/asheducation-2010.1.314
- Boehrer, S., Adès, L., Braun, T., Galluzzi, L., Grosjean, J., Fabre, C., . . . Kroemer, G. (2008). Erlotinib exhibits antineoplastic offtarget effects in AML and MDS: A preclinical study. *Blood, 111,* 2170–2180. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-07-100362
- Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research. (2011). Report on state of the art in blood and marrow transplantation. Retrieved from http://www.cibmtr.org/ReferenceCenter/ SlidesReports/SummarySlides/pages/index.aspx
- ClinicalTrials.gov. (2009). A phase 2 trial of RAD001 in low and intermediate-1 risk myelodysplastic syndromes. Retrieved from http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00809185
- ClinicalTrials.gov. (2011). A study of ARRY-614 in patients with low or intermediate-1 risk myelodysplastic syndrome. Retrieved from http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00916227
- Cutler, C. (2010). Patient selection for transplantation in the myelodysplastic syndromes. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America, 24,* 469–476. doi:10.1016/j.hoc.2010.02.006
- Cutler, C.S., Lee, S.J., Greenberg, P., Deeg, H.J., Perez, W.S., Anasetti, C., . . . Horowitz, M.M. (2004). A decision analysis of allogeneic bone marrow transplantation for the myelodysplastic syndromes: Delayed transplantation for low-risk myelodysplasia is associated with improved outcome. *Blood, 104,* 579–585. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-01-0338
- De Swart, L., Smith, A., Fenaux, P., Symeonidis, A., Hellstrom-Lindberg, E., Sanz, G., . . . Beyne-Rauzy, O. (2011). Management of 1,000 patients with low- and intermediate-1 risk myelodysplastic syndromes in the European LeukemiaNet MDS registry. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S3.
- Díez Campelo, M., Córdoba, I., Gómez-García de Soria, S., Martirio, R., Sanz, G., Insunza, A., . . . del Cañizo, M.C. (2011). Allogeneic stem cell transplant for myelodysplastic syndromes: Results of 291 patients from Spanish MDS registry [Abstract 328]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S131–S132.
- Epling-Burnette, P., List, A.F., & Komrokji, R.S. (2011). Guidelines for immunosuppression in MDS [Abstract 10]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S4.
- Esteller, M. (2008). Epigenetics in cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine, 358,* 1148–1159. doi:10.1056/NEJMra072067
- European Medicines Agency. (2012). Home page. Retrieved from http://www.ema.europe.eu
- Fenaux, P., Giagounidis, A., Selleslag, D., Beyne-Rauzy, O., Mufti, G., Mittelman, M., . . . MDS-004 Lenalidomide del5q Study Group. (2011). A randomized phase 3 study of lenalidomide versus placebo in RBC transfusion-dependent patients with low-/intermediate-1-risk myelodysplastic syndromes with del5q. *Blood, 118,* 3765–3776. doi:10.1182/blood-2011-01-330126
- Fenaux, P., Giagounidis, A., Selleslag, D., Beyne-Rauzy, O., Mufti, G.J., Mittelman, M., . . . Hellstrom-Lindberg, E. (2009). RBC transfusion independence and safety profile of lenalidomide 5 or 10 mg in patients with low- or int-1 risk MDS with del5q: Results from a randomized phase II trial (MDS-004) [Abstract 944]. Retrieved from http://ash.confex.com/ash/2009/webprogram/ Paper21430.html
- Fenaux, P., Kantarjian, H., Lyons, R.M., Larson, R.A., Sekeres, M.A., Becker, P.S., . . . Yang, A.S. (2011). Update of open-label extension study evaluating the long-term safety and efficacy of romiplostim in thrombocytopenic patients with MDS [Abstract 215]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S84.
- Fenaux, P., Mufti, G.J., Hellstrom-Lindberg, E., Santini, V., Finelli, C., Giagounidis, A., . . . International Vidaza High-Risk MDS Survival Study Group. (2009). Efficacy of azacitidine compared with that of conventional care regimens in the treatment of higherrisk myelodysplastic syndromes: A randomised, open-label, phase III study. *Lancet Oncology, 10,* 223–232. doi:10.1016/ S1470-2045(09)70003-8
- Figueroa, M.E., Skrabanek, L., Li, Y., Jiemjit, A., Fandy, T.E., Paietta, E., . . . Melnick, A. (2009). MDS and secondary AML display unique patterns and abundance of aberrant DNA methylation. *Blood, 114,* 3448–3458. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-01-200519
- Flinn, I.W., Lang, E., Raefsky, E., Boccia, R., Macias-Perez, I.M., Burris, H.A.I., & Hainsworth, J.D. (2010). Preliminary results of a phase 2 trial of panobinostat (LBH589) in refractory myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) patients [Abstract 4015]. Retrieved from http://ash.confex.com/ash/2010/webprogram/ Paper29441.html
- Garcia-Manero, G. (2010). Prognosis of myelodysplastic syndromes. *Hematology American Society of Hematology Education Program,* 330–337. doi:10.1182/asheducation-2010.1.330
- Garcia-Manero, G. (2011). Myelodysplastic syndromes: 2011 update on diagnosis, risk-stratification, and management. *American Journal of Hematology, 86,* 490–498. doi:10.1002/ajh.22047
- Garcia-Manero, G., & Fenaux, P. (2011). Hypomethylating agents and other novel strategies in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29,* 516–523. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2010.31.0854
- Garcia-Manero, G., Gore, S.D., Cogle, C., Ward, R., Shi, T., MacBeth, K.J., . . . Skikne, B. (2011). Phase I study of oral azacitidine in myelodysplastic syndromes, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, and acute myeloid leukemia. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29,* 2521–2527. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.34.4226
- Giagounidis, A., Ghulam, J., Kantarjian, H.M., Fenaux, P., Sekeres, M.A., Szer, J., . . . Jun, S. (2011). Treatment with the thrombopoietin (TPO)-receptor agonist romiplostim in thrombocytopenic patients (Pts) with low or intermediate-1 (Int-1) risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS): Results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo (PBO)-controlled study. Retrieved from http://abstracts .hematologylibrary.org/cgi/content/abstract/118/21/117?maxtos how=&hits=10&RESULTFORMAT=&fulltext=117&searhid=1&F IRSTINDEX=0&volume=118&issue=21&resourcetype=HWCIT
- Gondek, L.P., Tiu, R., O'Keefe, C.L., Sekeres, M.A., Theil, K.S., & Maciejewski, J.P. (2008). Chromosomal lesions and uniparental disomy detected by SNP arrays in MDS, MDS/MPD, and MDS-derived AML. *Blood, 111,* 1534–1542. doi:10.1182/ blood-2007-05-092304
- Gore, S., Sun, Z., Prebet, T., Greenberg, P., Gabrilove, J., Erba, H., . . . Tallman, M. (2011). Azacitidine plus entinostat: Results from E1905, the first randomized trial adding a histone deacetylase inhibitor to a DNMT inhibitor (DNMTi) [Abstract 170]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S66–S67.
- Graubert, T. (2011). Molecular analysis as a diagnostic tool in myelodysplastic syndromes [Abstract 13]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S5.
- Greenberg, P., Cox, C., LeBeau, M.M., Fenaux, P., Morel, P., Sanz, G., . . . Bennett, J. (1997). International scoring system for evaluating prognosis in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Blood, 89,* 2079–2088.
- Greenberg, P., Tuechler, H., Schanz, J., Sole, F., Bennett, J.M., Garcia-Manero, G., . . . Haase, D. (2011). Revised International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS-R), developed by the International Prognostic Working Group for Prognosis in MDS (IWG-PM) [Abstract 14]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S6.
- Greenberg, P.L. (2010). Current therapeutic approaches for patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *British Journal of Haematology, 150,* 131–143. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2010.08226.x
- Greenberg, P.L., Sun, Z., Miller, K.B., Bennett, J.M., Tallman, M.S., Dewald, G., . . . Rowe, J.M. (2009). Treatment of myelodysplastic syndrome patients with erythropoietin with or without granulocyte colony–stimulating factor: Results of a prospective randomized phase 3 trial by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (E1996). *Blood, 114,* 2393–2400. doi:10.1182/ blood-2009-03-211797
- Haase, D., Germing, U., Schanz, J., Pfeilstöcker, M., Nösslinger, T., Hildebrandt, B., . . . Steidl, C. (2007). New insights into the prognostic impact of the karyotype in MDS and correlation with subtypes: Evidence from a core dataset of 2124 patients. *Blood, 110,* 4385–4395. doi:10.1182/blood-2007-03-082404

Heise, C., Carter, T., Schafer, P., & Chopra, R. (2010). Pleiotropic

mechanisms of action of lenalidomide efficacy in del(5q) myelodysplastic syndromes. *Expert Reviews of Anticancer Therapy, 10,* 1663–1672.

- Hess-Stumpp, H., Bracker, T.U., Henderson, D., & Politz, O. (2007). MS-275, a potent orally available inhibitor of histone deacetylases—The development of an anticancer agent. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 39*(7–8), 1388–1405. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2007.02.009
- Itzykson, R., Kosmider, O., Cluzeau, T., Mas, V.M., Dreyfus, F., Beyne-Rauzy, O., . . . Fontenay, M. (2011). Impact of *TET2* mutations on response rate to azacitidine in myelodysplastic syndromes and low blast count acute myeloid leukemias. *Leukemia, 25,* 1147–1152. doi:10.1038/leu.2011.71
- Jadersten, M., Saft, L., Pellagatti, A., Gohring, G., Wainscoat, J.S., Boultwood, J., . . . Hellstrom-Lindberg, E. (2009). Clonal heterogeneity in the 5q− syndrome: P53 expressing progenitors prevail during lenalidomide treatment and expand at disease progression. *Haematologica, 94,* 1762–1766. doi:10.3324/hae matol.2009.011528
- Jadersten, M., Saft, L., Smith, A., Kulasekararaj, A., Pomplun, S., Gohring, G., . . . Mufti, G.J. (2011). *TP53* mutations in lowrisk myelodysplastic syndromes with del(5q) predict disease progression. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29,* 1971–1979. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.31.8576
- Jang, J.H., & Lee, J.H. (2011). Pretransplant use of decitabine as a bridging therapy for myelodysplastic syndrome [Abstract 330]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S132.
- Jiang, Y., Dunbar, A., Gondek, L.P., Mohan, S., Rataul, M., O'Keefe, C., . . . Maciejewski, J.P. (2009). Aberrant DNA methylation is a dominant mechanism in MDS progression to AML. *Blood, 113,* 1315–1325. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-06-163246
- Jonasova, A., Neuwirtova, R., Cermak, J., Vozobulova, V., Mocikova, K., Siskova, M., & Hochova, I. (1998). Cyclosporin A therapy in hypoplastic MDS patients and certain refractory anaemias without hypoplastic bone marrow. *British Journal of Haematology, 100,* 304–309.
- Kantarjian, H., Issa, J.P., Rosenfeld, C.S., Bennett, J.M., Albitar, M., DiPersio, J., . . . Saba, H. (2006). Decitabine improves patient outcomes in myelodysplastic syndromes: Results of a phase III randomized study. *Cancer, 106,* 1794–1803. doi:10.1002/ cncr.21792
- Kantarjian, H., O'Brien, S., Ravandi, F., Cortes, J., Shan, J., Bennett, J.M., . . . Garcia-Manero, G. (2008). Proposal for a new risk model in myelodysplastic syndrome that accounts for events not considered in the original International Prognostic Scoring System. *Cancer, 113,* 1351–1361. doi:10.1002/cncr.23697
- Kantarjian, H., Oki, Y., Garcia-Manero, G., Huang, X., O'Brien, S., Cortes, J., . . . Issa, J.P. (2007). Results of a randomized study of 3 schedules of low-dose decitabine in higher-risk myelodysplastic syndrome and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia. *Blood, 109,* 52–57. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-05-021162
- Kantarjian, H.M., Giles, F.J., Greenberg, P.L., Paquette, R.L., Wang, E.S., Gabrilove, J.L., . . . Berger, D.P. (2010). Phase 2 study of romiplostim in patients with low- or intermediate-risk myelodysplastic syndrome receiving azacitidine therapy. *Blood, 116,* 3163–3170. doi:10.1182/blood-2010-03-274753
- Klumpen, H.J., Beijnen, J.H., Gurney, H., & Schellens, J.H. (2010). Inhibitors of mTOR. *Oncologist, 15,* 1262–1269. doi:10.1634/ theoncologist.2010-0196
- Komrokji, R.S., Lancet, J.E., Yu, D., Santana, E., Yan, L., Smith, P.S., . . . List, A.F. (2010). Erlotinib for treatment of myelodysplastic

syndromes: A phase II clinical study [Abstract 1854]. Retrieved from http://ash.confex.com/ash/2010/webprogram/Paper30141 .html

- Komrokji, R.S., Zhang, L., & Bennett, J.M. (2010). Myelodysplastic syndromes classification and risk stratification. *Hematology/ Oncology Clinics of North America, 24,* 443–457. doi:10.1016/j .hoc.2010.02.004
- Kurtin, S.E. (2007). Myelodysplastic syndromes: Diagnosis, treatment planning, and clinical management. *Oncology (Williston Park), 21*(11, Suppl. Nurse Ed.), 41–48.
- Kurtin, S.E., & Demakos, E.P. (2010). An update on the treatment of myelodysplastic syndromes [Online exclusive]. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 14,* E24–E39. doi:10.1188/10.CJON.E24-E39
- Kurtin, S.E., Paterson, P., Wintrich, S., Iraca, T., Hassan, A.A., Murray, D., & Hogan, S. (2012). Patient and family resources for living with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 16*(3, Suppl. 1), 58–64. doi:10.1188/12.CJON .S1.58-64.
- Link, P.A., Baer, M.R., James, S.R., Jones, D.A., & Karpf, A.R. (2008). P53-inducible ribonucleotide reductase (p53R2/RRM2B) is a DNA hypomethylation-independent decitabine gene target that correlates with clinical response in myelodysplastic syndrome/ acute myelogenous leukemia. *Cancer Research, 68,* 9358–9366. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-1860
- List, A. (2011). International research activity in MDS—Novel therapeutics [Abstract 23]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S9.
- List, A., Dewald, G., Bennett, J., Giagounidis, A., Raza, A., Feldman, E., . . . Myelodysplastic Syndrome-003 Study Investigators. (2006). Lenalidomide in the myelodysplastic syndrome with chromosome 5q deletion. *New England Journal of Medicine, 355,* 1456–1465. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa061292
- Lubbert, M., Suciu, S., Baila, L., Ruter, B.H., Platzbecker, U., Giagounidis, A., . . . Wijermans, P.W. (2011). Low-dose decitabine versus best supportive care in elderly patients with intermediate- or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) ineligible for intensive chemotherapy: Final results of the randomized phase III study of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Leukemia Group and the German MDS Study Group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29,* 1987–1996. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.30.9245
- Lyons, R.M., Cosgriff, T.M., Modi, S.S., Gersh, R.H., Hainsworth, J.D., Cohn, A.L., . . . Beach, C.L. (2009). Hematologic response to three alternative dosing schedules of azacitidine in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 27,* 1850–1856. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.17.1058
- Maciejewski, J.P., & Mufti, G.J. (2008). Whole genome scanning as a cytogenetic tool in hematologic malignancies. *Blood, 112,* 965–974. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-02-130435
- Malcovati, L., Germing, U., Kuendgen, A., Della Porta, M.G., Pascutto, C., Invernizzi, R., . . . Cazzola, M. (2007). Time-dependent prognostic scoring system for predicting survival and leukemic evolution in myelodysplastic syndromes. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 25,* 3503–3510. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.08.5696
- Molldrem, J.J., Jiang, Y.Z., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Mavroudis, D., Hensel, N., & Barrett, A.J. (1998). Haematological response of patients with myelodysplastic syndrome to antithymocyte globulin is associated with a loss of lymphocyte-mediated inhibition of CFU-GM and alterations in T-cell receptor Vbeta profiles. *British Journal of Haematology, 102,* 1314–1322.
- Naqvi, K., Garcia-Manero, G., Vueso-Ramos, C.E., Pierce, S., Kadia, T., Borthakur, G., . . . Jabbour, E. (2010). Discrepancy in diagnosis

of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) between referral and tertiary care centers: Experience at MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) [Abstract 1870]. Retrieved from http://ash.confex .com/ash/2010/webprogram/Paper31872.html

- National Comprehensive Cancer Network. (2011). *NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Myelodysplastic syndromes* [v2.2011]. Retrieved from http://www.nccn.org/professionals/ physician_gls/PDF/mds.pdf
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. (2012). Home page. Retrieved from http://www.nice.org/uk
- Navada, S.C., & Silverman, L.R. (2011). Therapeutic modalities for patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes: Current options and future directions. *Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports, 6,* 5–12.
- Nordic MDS Group. (2011). Home page. Retrieved from http:// www.nmds.org
- Oussenko, I.A., Holland, J.F., Reddy, E.P., & Ohnuma, T. (2011). Effect of ON 01910.na, an anticancer mitotic inhibitor, on cellcycle progression correlates with RanGAP1 hyperphosphorylation. *Cancer Research, 71,* 4968–4976. doi:10.1158/0008-5472 .CAN-10-1603
- Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review. (2011). *Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review submission guidelines.* Retrieved from http://www.pcodr.ca/idc/groups/pcodr/documents/pcodrdocu ment/pcodr-submission-guidelines.pdf
- Passweg, J.R., Giagounidis, A.A., Simcock, M., Aul, C., Dobbelstein, C., Stadler, M., . . . Ganser, A. (2011). Immunosuppressive therapy for patients with myelodysplastic syndrome: A prospective randomized multicenter phase III trial comparing antithymocyte globulin plus cyclosporine with best supportive care—SAKK 33/99. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29,* 303–309. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2010.31.2686
- Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency. (2012). 2012 PMDA risk communications. Retrieved from http://www.pmda.go.jp/ english/index.html
- Prince, H.M., Bishton, M.J., & Johnstone, R.W. (2009). Panobinostat (LBH589): A potent pan-deacetylase inhibitor with promising activity against hematologic and solid tumors. *Future Oncology (London, England), 5,* 601–612. doi:10.2217/fon.09.36
- Raza, A., Galili, N., Smith, S., Godwin, J., Lancet, J., Melchert, M., . . . List, A. (2009). Phase 1 multicenter dose-escalation study of ezatiostat hydrochloride (TLK199 tablets), a novel glutathione analog prodrug, in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome. *Blood, 113,* 6533–6540. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-01-176032
- Raza, A., Greenberg, P.L., Olnes, M.J., Silverman, L.R., Wilhelm, F. (2011). Final phase I/II results of rigosertib (ON01910.Na) hematological effects in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and correlation with overall survival. Retrieved from http:// abstracts.hematologylibrary.org/cgi/content/abstract/118/21/ 3822?maxtoshow=&hits=10&RESULTFORMAT=&fulltext=38 22&searchid=1&FIRSTINDEX=0&volume=118&issue=21&re sourcetype=HWCIT
- Rizzo, J.D., Brouwers, M., Hurley, P., Seidenfeld, J., Arcasoy, M.O., Spivak, J.L., . . . Somerfield, M.R. (2010). American Society of Clinical Oncology/American Society of Hematology Clinical Practice Guideline update on the use of epoetin and darbepoetin in adult patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 28,* 4996–5010. doi:10.1200/JCO.2010.29.2201
- Saunthararajah, Y., Nakamura, R., Nam, J., Robyn, J., Loberiza, F., Maciejewski, J.P., . . . Barrett, A.J. (2002). HLA-DR15 (DR2) is overrepresented in myelodysplastic syndrome and aplastic

anemia and predicts a response to immunosuppression in myelodysplastic syndrome. *Blood, 100,* 1570–1574.

- Schanz, J., Tüchler, H., Sole, F., Mallo, M., Hildebrandt, B., Slovak, M., . . . Haase, D. (2010). Proposal of a new, comprehensive cytogenetic scoring system for primary MDS [Abstract 0535]. *Haematologica*, *95*(S2), 219.
- Shah, J., Kurtin, S.E., Arnold, L., Lindroos-Kolqvist, P., & Tinsley, S. (2012). Management of tranfusion-related iron overload in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 16*(3, Suppl. 1), 37–46. doi:10.1188/12.CJON. S1.37-46.
- Silverman, L.R., Demakos, E.P., Peterson, B.L., Kornblith, A.B., Holland, J.C., Odchimar-Reissig, R., . . . Holland, J.F. (2002). Randomized controlled trial of azacitidine in patients with the myelodysplastic syndrome: A study of the Cancer and Leukemia Group B. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 20,* 2429–2440.
- Silverman, L.R., Fenaux, P., Mufti, G.J., Santini, V., Hellstrom-Lindberg, E., Gattermann, N., . . . Seymour, J.F. (2011). Continued azacitidine therapy beyond time of first response improves quality of response in patients with higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. *Cancer, 117,* 2697–2702. doi:10.1002/cncr.25774
- Sloand, E.M., & Barrett, A. (2010). Immunosuppression for myelodysplastic syndrome: How bench to bedside to bench research led to success. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America, 24,* 331–341.
- Sloand, E.M., Olnes, M.J., Shenoy, A., Weinstein, B., Boss, C., Loeliger, K., . . . Young, N.S. (2010). Alemtuzumab treatment of intermediate-1 myelodysplasia patients is associated with sustained improvement in blood counts and cytogenetic remissions. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 28,* 5166–5173. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2010.29.7010
- Sloand, E.M., Wu, C.O., Greenberg, P., Young, N., & Barrett, J. (2008). Factors affecting response and survival in patients with myelodysplasia treated with immunosuppressive therapy. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 26,* 2505–2511. doi:10.1200/ JCO.2007.11.9214
- Steensma, D.P., Baer, M.R., Slack, J.L., Buckstein, R., Godley, L.A., Garcia-Manero, G., . . . Kantarjian, H. (2009). Multicenter study of decitabine administered daily for 5 days every 4 weeks to adults with myelodysplastic syndromes: The Alternative Dosing for Outpatient Treatment (ADOPT) trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 27,* 3842–3848. doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.19.6550
- SuperGen, Inc. (2010). *Dacogen® (decitabine)* [Prescribing information]. Retrieved from http://us.eisai.com/pdf_files/ Dacogen_PI.pdf
- Thomas, M.L., Crisp, N., & Campbell, K. (2012). The importance of quality of life for patients living with myelodysplastic syndromes. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing, 16*(3, Suppl. 1), 47–57. doi:10.1188/12.CJON.S1.47-57.
- Tiu, R.V., Visconte, V., Traina, F., Schwandt, A., & Maciejewski, J.P. (2011). Updates in cytogenetics and molecular markers in MDS. *Current Hematologic Malignancy Reports, 6,* 126–135. doi:10.1007/s11899-011-0081-2
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2012). Home page. Retrieved from http://www.fda.gov
- Vardiman, J.W., Thiele, J., Arber, D.A., Brunning, R.D., Borowitz, M.J., Porwit, A., . . . Bloomfield, C.D. (2009). The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: Rationale and important changes. *Blood, 114,* 937–951. doi:10.1182/ blood-2009-03-209262

Westers, T., Ireland, R., Kern, W., Alhan, C., Balleisen, J., Bene, M.C., . . . van de Loosdrecht, A.A. (2011). Standardization of flow cytometry in myelodysplastic syndromes: A report from an international consortium and the European LeukemiaNet Working Group [Abstract 137]. *Leukemia Research, 35*(Suppl. 1), S53.

Wroblewski, S., Shi, W., Mudd, P., & Aivado, M. (2010). Eltrom-

bopag in thrombocytopenic patients with advanced myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) or secondary acute myeloid leukemia after MDS: A phase I/II study [Abstract TPS184]. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 28*(15S), 27s.

Yoo, C.B., & Jones, P.A. (2006). Epigenetic therapy of cancer: Past, present, and future. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 5,* 37–50.

For Exploration on the Go

The European Medicines Agency offers information on the scientific evaluation of medicines developed by pharmaceutical companies for use in the European Union. Access the information by opening a barcode scanner on your smartphone. Point your phone at the code and take a photo. Your phone will link to the content automatically. Access this content at www.ema.europa.eu.