Association Between Physical Activity Levels and Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy Severity in Cancer Survivors

Anna Wilcoxon, RN, MS, Kord M. Kober, PhD, Carol Viele, RN, MS, Kimberly Topp, PT, PhD, Betty Smoot, PT, DPTSc, Gary Abrams, MD, Margaret Chesney, PhD, Steven M. Paul, PhD, Yvette P. Conley, PhD, Jon D. Levine, MD, PhD, and Christine Miaskowski, RN, PhD

OBJECTIVES: To evaluate for differences in demographic and clinical characteristics, as well as subjective and objective measures of chemotherapyinduced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN), among different exercise groups.

SAMPLE & SETTING: Cancer survivors (N = 290) were recruited from throughout the San Francisco Bay Area.

METHODS & VARIABLES: Based on the recommended 150 minutes or more of exercise per week, survivors were classified into the no exercise (NoEx), less exercise (LessEx), or recommended exercise (RecEx) group. Survivors completed self-report questionnaires and underwent sensory and balance testing.

RESULTS: Compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had less education, were less likely to be married/partnered, had a lower household income, had a higher level of comorbidity, and had poorer functional status. No differences were found among the groups in CIPN duration; pain intensity scores; or changes in light touch, cold, and pain sensations.

IMPLICATIONS FOR NURSING: Clinicians can recommend walking as a therapeutic option for survivors with CIPN and refer them to physical therapy.

KEYWORDS chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; exercise; chemotherapy; gait; balance *ONF*, **47(6)**, **703–719**. **DOI** 10.1188/20.0NF.703-719 hemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) occurs in 30%– 50% of cancer survivors (Kerckhove et al., 2017; Vollmers et al., 2018), has negative effects on patient out-

comes (Chan et al., 2019; Kneis et al., 2019), and is associated with an increased risk of falls (Kneis et al., 2019). Although duloxetine is the only drug recommended to decrease CIPN pain (Hershman et al., 2014), a growing body of evidence suggests that regular physical activity is a safe and low-cost intervention to decrease the severity of CIPN symptoms (Andersen Hammond et al., 2019; Streckmann et al., 2014). The mechanisms that underlie the efficacy of exercise are not completely understood, but findings from preclinical studies suggest that physical exercise can decrease levels of proinflammatory cytokines and neurotrophins, increase GABAergic inhibition, increase the upregulation of analgesic factors, activate the descending serotonin inhibitory pathway, and increase the release of endogenous opioids (Andersen Hammond et al., 2019; Cooper et al., 2016; Kami et al., 2017).

In terms of clinical research, only three studies have evaluated the effects of exercise on CIPN symptoms in cancer survivors (Kneis et al., 2019; McCrary et al., 2019; Wonders et al., 2013). In one study (Wonders et al., 2013), breast cancer survivors with CIPN (n = 20) were asked to follow a 10-week homebased exercise program that included walking and resistance exercises. The number of survivors who reported unpleasant skin sensations, abnormal sensitivity to touch, and sudden bursts of pain decreased following the intervention. In the second 12-week



FIGURE 1. Differences Between the LessEx

and RecEx Groups in Minutes per Session

* p < 0.001

LessEx—patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; RecEx—patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week

study that compared endurance and balance training (n = 18) to only endurance training (n = 19) (Kneis et al., 2019), although no between-group differences were found in functional performance, both groups reported decreases in sensory, motor, and autonomic scores on the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire-CIPN 20-Item Scale (CIPN20) (Postma et al., 2005). In another study that evaluated the effects of an eightweek multimodal exercise intervention on CIPN symptoms and functional deficits in 29 survivors with CIPN (McCrary et al., 2019), significant improvements in dynamic balance and CIPN symptoms were found following the intervention. Although sample sizes were small and the exercise interventions were diverse, these findings provide preliminary evidence of the beneficial effects of exercise for CIPN.

Another approach to determine the efficacy of exercise is to evaluate for differences in CIPN characteristics in survivors who self-report that they do or do not meet the minimum recommended levels of physical exercise (i.e., 150 minutes or more per week) (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2018). In the first of two studies that used this approach (Wonders & Drury, 2012), of the 134 women with breast cancer and CIPN, only 15.6% reported meeting the physical exercise recommendation. Of note, only 15% of the patients who exercised reported experiencing pain, compared to 72% of the sedentary patients. In another study of colorectal cancer survivors (Mols et al., 2015), although a definitive diagnosis of CIPN was not confirmed, in survivors who received chemotherapy (n = 506), not meeting the recommended level of physical activity was associated with increased rates of CIPN symptoms.

Although these two studies suggested positive relationships between self-reported levels of physical activity and decreases in CIPN symptoms (Mols et al., 2015; Wonders & Drury, 2012), neither study used both subjective and objective measures of CIPN. Therefore, the current study aimed to evaluate for differences in demographic and clinical characteristics, subjective and objective measures of CIPN, and measures of balance among a sample of 290 cancer survivors with CIPN who were classified into one of three exercise groups using the recommendation for physical activity from the Office of Disease Prevention

FIGURE 2. Differences Between the LessEx and RecEx Groups in Frequency of Exercise





and Health Promotion's (2018) Healthy People 2020 report. The authors hypothesized that lower levels of exercise would be associated with worse scores on subjective and objective measures of CIPN and more balance problems.

Methods

Sample and Setting

The current analysis is part of a larger study, funded by the National Cancer Institute, that evaluated CIPN in cancer survivors. The methods for the parent study were described in detail by Miaskowski et al. (2017). Survivors were recruited from throughout the San Francisco Bay Area. Those with CIPN met the following inclusion criteria:

- Aged 18 years or older
- Had received a platinum and/or a taxane compound
- Had completed their course of chemotherapy three months or more prior to enrollment
- Had changes in sensation and/or pain in their feet and/or hands of three months' duration or more following the completion of chemotherapy
- Had a rating of 3 or more on a 0–10 numeric rating scale (NRS) for any one of the following sensations from the Pain Quality Assessment Scale (PQAS) (Jensen et al., 2006): numb, tender, shooting, sensitive, electrical, tingling, radiating, throbbing, cramping, itchy, or unpleasant
- If they had pain associated with CIPN, had an average pain intensity score in their feet and/or hands of 3 or greater on a 0–10 NRS
- Had a Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) score of 50 or greater

• Were able to read, write, and understand English Survivors were excluded if they had peripheral vascular disease, vitamin B₁₂ deficiency, thyroid dysfunction, HIV neuropathy, another painful condition that was difficult for them to distinguish from their CIPN, a hereditary sensory or autonomic neuropathy, and/or a hereditary mitochondrial disorder. Of the 1,450 survivors who were screened, 754 were enrolled and 623 (423 with CIPN and 200 without CIPN) completed the self-report questionnaires and the study visit. For this analysis, complete data on regular exercise were available from 290 survivors with CIPN.

Procedure

Research nurses screened and consented the survivors via telephone, sent the self-report questionnaires and asked the survivors to complete them prior to their study visit, and scheduled an in-person assessment. At the assessment, written informed consent

FIGURE 3. Differences Between the LessEx and RecEx Groups in Exercise Intensity



LessEx—patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; RecEx—patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week

was obtained, questionnaires were reviewed for completeness, and objective measurements were done.

Measures

Demographic and clinical characteristics: Survivors provided information on demographic characteristics and completed the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) (Babor et al., 2001), the KPS scale (Karnofsky, 1977), and the Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire (SCQ) (Sangha et al., 2003). The AUDIT assesses alcohol consumption, alcohol dependence, and the consequences of alcohol abuse in the past 12 months (Babor et al., 2001). The SCQ consists of 13 common medical conditions that are simplified into language that can be understood without any prior medical knowledge. Patients were asked to indicate if they had the condition, if they received treatment for it, and if it limited their activities. A patient can receive a maximum of three points for each condition. The total SCQ score can range from 0-39 (Sangha et al., 2003).

Evaluation of regular exercise: Survivors completed a six-item exercise questionnaire that asked them to report whether they exercised on a regular basis, what types of physical activity they engaged in at the present time (e.g., walking, swimming), how many days per week they exercised, how many times per day they exercised, and the duration and intensity of each session. Based on responses to this questionnaire, the following three exercise groups were created: no exercise (NoEx), less than 150 minutes per week (LessEx), and 150 or more minutes per week (RecEx).

Pain questionnaires: Separate assessments were done for pain intensity and quality ratings for the hands and feet. A detailed CIPN history was obtained using a questionnaire from the authors' previous (Langford et al., 2015; Posternak et al., 2016) and ongoing studies. Information was obtained on the date of pain onset and its interference level with function. Average and worst pain intensity during the past 24 hours was assessed using an NRS ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst pain imaginable) (Downie et al., 1978).

The 20-item PQAS was used to assess the qualities associated with CIPN (Jensen et al., 2005, 2006). Sixteen items evaluated the magnitude of the





* p < 0.001

Downloaded on 07-02-2024. Single-user license only. Copyright 2024 by the Oncology Nursing Society. For permission to post online, reprint, adapt, or reuse, please email pubpermissions@ons.org. ONS reserves all rights

LessEx—patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; RecEx—patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week

different pain quality descriptors (e.g., sharp, hot, aching, cold), measured on an NRS ranging from 0 to 10. Four items evaluated global and spatial pain qualities. In studies of various types of neuropathic pain, the PQAS has well-established validity and reliability (Jensen et al., 2005, 2006).

Sensation: Light touch was evaluated with Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments (Bell-Krotoski, 2002). A Tip Therm[®] rod was used to evaluate cold sensation (Papanas & Ziegler, 2011; Viswanathan et al., 2002). A Neurotip[™] evaluated pain sensation (Papanas & Ziegler, 2011). A biothesiometer assessed vibration threshold (Garrow & Boulton, 2006). For all measures of sensation, the upper and lower extremities on the dominant side were tested.

Balance: To assess balance, self-report questions from the Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy Assessment Tool were used (Tofthagen et al., 2011). Objective measures of balance were the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test (Mathias et al., 1986) and the Fullerton Advanced Balance (FAB) test (Hernandez & Rose, 2008; Rose et al., 2006).

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 23.0. For survivors' demographic and clinical characteristics, descriptive statistics and frequency distributions were calculated. For the four measures of sensation (light touch, cold, pain, and vibration), composite scores were created over all sites that were tested on the dominant upper and lower extremities. The number of sites with loss of each sensation was summed for light touch, cold, and pain. The mean score across the sites was calculated for vibration.

The three exercise groups were created using the survivors' responses to the exercise questionnaire. Survivors who responded "no" to the question about whether they exercised on a regular basis were assigned to the NoEX group. The remaining two groups (survivors who exercised less than 150 minutes per week [LessEx] and survivors who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week [RecEx]) were assigned based on a calculation of the number of times they exercised per week, the number of times per day that they exercised, and the duration of the exercise sessions.

Differences among the three exercise groups in demographic and clinical characteristics, as well as subjective and objective measures of CIPN, were evaluated using analyses of variance, chisquare analyses, or Kruskal–Wallis tests. For the Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc contrasts, a p value of less than 0.0167 (i.e., 0.05/3) was considered statistically significant.

Results

Classification of the Exercise Groups

Of the 290 survivors with CIPN who completed the exercise questionnaire, 21% were classified in the NoEx group, 45% in the LessEx group, and 35% in the RecEx group. As shown in Figures 1–4, compared to the RecEx group, patients in the LessEx group exercised for fewer minutes per session and for fewer total minutes per week and participated in less intense exercise and for fewer days per week (all, p < 0.001). Figure 5 illustrates the differences in the percentages of patients in the two exercise groups who engaged in different types of exercise.

Differences in Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

As shown in Table 1, compared with the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had completed significantly fewer years of education and were less likely to be married or partnered. In addition, compared to the other two exercise groups, survivors in the NoEx group had significantly lower annual household incomes.

In terms of clinical characteristics (see Table 2), compared to the other two groups, survivors in the NoEx group had a significantly lower KPS score and a higher body mass index (BMI). In addition, compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had a significantly higher number of comorbidities and a higher SCQ score.

Differences in Self-Reported Pain Characteristics

In terms of pain characteristics, no differences were found among the exercise groups in terms of duration of CIPN and pain intensity scores. However, in terms of interference scores in the lower extremities, compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group reported significantly higher interference scores for balance, walking ability, normal work, sleep, and overall interference. In addition, compared to the other two groups, survivors in the NoEx group reported significantly higher interference scores for enjoyment of life. In terms of pain interference scores in the upper extremities, compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group reported significantly higher interference scores for enjoyment of life and sleep.

Table 3 summarizes the differences among the three exercise groups in pain quality scores in the upper and

FIGURE 5. Differences Between the LessEx and RecEx Groups in the Types of Exercise Used





lower extremities. In terms of the lower extremities, compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group reported significantly higher PQAS scores for the following qualities: unpleasant, intense, aching, throbbing, and intense surface pain. Compared with the LessEx group, the NoEx group reported significantly higher PQAS scores in the lower extremities for throbbing and tender qualities. For the upper extremities, no significant differences were found among the three groups for any of the PQAS scores.

Differences in Objective Measures of Sensation

As shown in Table 4, compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had significantly higher vibration scores in the lower extremities. No statistically significant differences were found among the three exercise groups for any of the other objective measures of sensation.

Differences in Balance

In terms of objective measures of balance, compared with the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had significantly higher TUG scores. In addition, compared

TABLE 1. Demographic Characteristics by Exercise Group											
	NoEx (N = 60)		LessEx (N = 129)	RecEx (N = 101)					
Characteristic	x	SD	x	SD	x	SD	Statistics				
Age (years) Education (years)	61.1 15.6	10.6 2.9	60.2 16.5	11.4 3.2	61.3 16.9	10.2 2.2	F = 0.35, p = 0.708 F = 3.75, p = 0.025; 1 < 3				
Characteristic	n	%	n	%	n	%	Statistics				
Gender							χ^2 = 2.87, p = 0.025				
Female Male	48 12	80 20	112 17	87 13	90 11	89 11					
Marital status							χ^2 = 7.41, p = 0.25; 1 < 3				
Not married or partnered Married or partnered	32 25	56 44	47 79	37 63	35 64	35 65					
Living situation							χ^2 = 4.87, p = 0.088				
Lives with others Lives alone	35 24	59 41	89 36	71 29	75 24	76 24					
Employment status							χ^2 = 2.66, p = 0.265				
Not employed Employed	43 17	72 28	76 52	59 41	64 37	63 37					
Childcare responsibilities							χ^2 = 2.01, p = 0.366				
No Yes	53 7	88 12	105 24	81 19	85 13	87 13					
Adult care responsibilities							χ^2 = 6.05, p = 0.049				
No Yes	47 5	90 10	117 3	97 3	90 2	98 2					
Ethnicity							χ^2 = 6.58, p = 0.361				
White Hispanic, mixed, or other Black Asian/Pacific Islander	41 11 5 3	68 18 8 5	97 11 9 12	75 9 7 9	79 10 4 8	78 10 4 8					
Annual household income (\$)							KW, p < 0.0001; 1 < 2 and 3				
Less than 30,000 30,000–69,999 70,000–99,999 100,000 or greater	26 12 9 9	47 21 16 16	26 32 15 47	22 27 12 39	17 12 18 45	19 13 20 48					

KW–Kruskal–Wallis test; LessEx–patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; NoEx–patients who reported they did not exercise on a regular basis; RecEx–patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week

to the other two groups, survivors in the NoEx group had significantly lower FAB scores. Compared to survivors in the RecEx groups, survivors in the LessEx group had significantly lower FAB scores.

Discussion

This study is the first to evaluate for differences in demographic and clinical characteristics, subjective

and objective measures of CIPN, and measures of balance among cancer survivors with CIPN who were categorized using self-reported levels of exercise. Consistent with prior reports (Kneis et al., 2019; McCrary et al., 2019; Mols et al., 2015; Wonders et al., 2013), the current findings support the authors' hypothesis that lower levels of exercise would be associated with worse scores for both subjective and

TABLE 2. Clinical Characteristics by Exercise Group										
	NoEx (N = 60)	LessEx (LessEx (N = 129) RecEx (N = 101)		N = 101)				
Characteristic	x	SD	x	SD	x	SD	Statistics			
KPS score	77	10.9	83.8	10.1	84.4	9.7	F = 11.65, p < 0.001; 1 < 2 and 3			
Body mass index (kg/m²)	29	6.3	26.3	6	26.2	5.1	F = 5.23, $p = 0.006$; $1 > 2$ and 3			
Number of comorbidities	2.4	1.6	2.1	1.4	1.7	1.4	F = 4.31, p = 0.014; 1 > 3			
SCQ score	5.5	4.1	4.3	3.2	3.7	3.1	F = 5.22, p = 0.006; 1 > 3			
AUDIT score	2.2	2.7	2.1	1.9	2.2	2.1	F = 0.78, p = 0.925			
Years since cancer diagnosis	3.9	3.5	5.2	5.6	4.8	4.8	F = 1.43, p = 0.24			
Number of prior cancer treatments	3	1	3.1	1	3.1	0.9	F = 0.61, p = 0.545			
Number of current cancer treatments	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.6	F = 0.56, p = 0.574			
Number of metastatic sites (of 7)	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	F = 0.19, p = 0.829			
Number of metastatic sites without lymph node involvement	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.4	F = 2.35, p = 0.097			
Platinum dose (mg/m²)ª	908.5	895.6	631.1	336.6	793.9	396	F = 1.41, p = 0.253			
Taxane dose (mg/m²) ^b	829.9	213.9	870.8	1,145.9	764.4	295.3	F = 0.22, p = 0.807			
Platinum dose (mg/m²)°	1,798.5	1,005	1,619.2	800.9	1747.3	485.7	F = 0.41, p = 0.663			
Taxane dose (mg/m²)°	1,009.9	479.6	803.6	438.7	937.6	457.3	F = 1.51, p = 0.227			
Characteristic	n	%	n	%	n	%	Statistics			
Smoking status							$\chi^2 = 0.26$, p = 0.877			
Nonsmoker Smoker (ever)	35 25	58 42	79 48	62 38	62 39	61 39				
Born prematurely							$\chi^2 = 0.62, p = 0.733$			
No	49	94	109	94	86	91				
Past surgery to arms	5	0	I	0	0	3	$\chi^2 = 1.42$, p = 0.491			
No	45	75	106	82	78	78				
Yes	15	25	23	18	22	22	2 0 40 0 00			
Past surgery to hands	50	85	119	02	01	00	$\chi^2 = 2.48, p = 0.29$			
Yes	9	15	10	8	10	30 10				
Past surgery to legs							χ^2 = 0.28, p = 0.871			
No	47	80 20	98 20	77	74 22	76 24				
Past surgery to feet	12	20	30	23	23	24	$\chi^2 = 2.91$, p = 0.234			
No	47	78	112	87	80	82				
Yes	13	22	16	13	18	18				
							Continued on the next page			

TABLE 2. Clinical Characteristics by Exercise Group (Continued)										
	NoEx (I	NoEx (N = 60) LessEx (N = 129)		RecEx (N = 101)					
Characteristic	n	%	n	%	n	%	Statistics			
Past injury to arms							$\chi^2 = 0.18$, p = 0.914			
No	43	74	91	72	71	71				
Yes	15	26	35	28	29	29				
Past injury to hands							$\chi^2 = 2.88, p = 0.237$			
No	37	66 24	88	69 21	55 40	58 42				
Past injury to logs	15	54	40	51	40	42	$\gamma^2 = 0.53$ n = 0.767			
No	/18	80	105	81	75	77	χ = 0.33, β = 0.707			
Yes	12	20	24	19	22	23				
Past injury to feet							χ^2 = 1.78, p = 0.411			
No	41	71	99	78	69	71				
Yes	17	29	28	22	31	29				
Metastatic disease							χ^2 = 3.12, p = 0.21			
Yes	40	67	72	56	65	66				
No	20	33	56	44	33	34				
Dose reduction or delay from neuropathy							χ^2 = 1.05, p = 0.591			
No	52	88	100	83	83	87				
Yes	7	12	20	17	12	13				
Comorbid conditions ^a			- 1	40			2 4 9 4 9 5 4 5			
Back pain Osteoarthritis	23	38	51 43	40 33	33	33	$\chi^2 = 1.21, p = 0.545$ $\chi^2 = 0.35, p = 0.839$			
Depression	20	33	43 31	24	21	21	$\chi^2 = 0.33$, p = 0.033 $\chi^2 = 3.25$, p = 0.197			
High blood pressure	20	33	34	26	25	25	$\chi^2 = 1.49, p = 0.475$			
Anemia or blood disease	4	7	7	5	5	5	$\chi^2 = 0.22, p = 0.897$			
Diabetes	4	7	5	4	5	5	χ ² = 0.7, p = 0.705			
Heart disease	4	7	11	9	9	9	χ^2 = 0.27, p = 0.874			
Lung disease	4	7	6	5	1	1	$\chi^2 = 3.79$, p = 0.15			
Liver disease	3	5	2	2	2	2	$\chi^2 = 2.19, p = 0.334$			
Rheumatoid arthritis	3	5	3	2	1	1	$\chi^2 = 2.58, p = 0.276$			
Kidney disease	2	3	3	2	1	1	$\chi^2 = 1.1, p = 0.578$			
Type of cancer	1	2	1	5	2	Z	$\chi^2 = 7.98$ n = 0.436			
Breast	20	18	71	55	53	52	λ 1.50, μ = 0.450			
Colon	5	40	14	11	11	11				
Lung	0 1	2	6	5	-	-				
Ovarian	7	12	12	9	11	11				
Other	18	30	26	20	26	26				
Chemotherapy regimen							$\chi^2 = 0.84$, p = 0.933			
Only a platinum compound	14	23	32	25	22	22				
							Continued on the next page			

TABLE 2. Clinical C	Characteristics b	y Exercise G	Group (Continued)
---------------------	-------------------	--------------	-------------------

	NoEx (N = 60)		LessEx (N = 129)		RecEx (N = 101)		
Characteristic	n	%	n	%	n	%	Statistics
Chemotherapy regimen (continued)							$\chi^2 = 0.84, p = 0.933$
Only a taxane compound A platinum and a taxane compound	25 21	42 35	59 38	46 29	47 32	47 32	
Only a taxane compound A platinum and a taxane compound	25 21	42 35	59 38	46 29	47 32	47 32	

^a For patients who received only a platinum

^b For patients who received only a taxane

°For patients who received both a platinum and a taxane

^d Participants could choose more than 1 response.

AUDIT—Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; KPS—Karnofsky Performance Status; LessEx—patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; NoEx—patients who reported they did not exercise on a regular basis; RecEx—patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week; SCQ—Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire

Note. Because of rounding, percentages may not total 100.

Note. KPS scores (i.e., a measure of functional status) range from 50 ("I need a large amount of assistance and require medical care") to 100 ("I feel normal; I have no complaints or symptoms").

objective measures of CIPN, as well as more problems with balance. Compared to the survivors who met the Healthy People 2020 exercise recommendation (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2018), survivors in the NoEx group had worse scores for the majority of the measures.

Given that the majority of the differences were found between the NoEx and the RecEx groups, it is possible that some level of physical activity is beneficial to survivors with CIPN. However, when the authors evaluated, using data from the LessEx and RecEx groups, the relationships between the total number of minutes of exercise and worst pain intensity, pain interference, TUG, and FAB scores, no dose–response effect was found for worst pain or pain interference. For TUG (r = -0.174, p = 0.008) and FAB (r = 0.191, p = 0.004) scores, although statistically significant, the correlations were extremely small. The actual amount of exercise that is sufficient to decrease CIPN signs and symptoms and improve balance remains to be determined.

In the current study, although 35% of the survivors reported that they exercised for 150 minutes or more per week, this percentage is lower than the 88% reported in a Dutch registry study (Mols et al., 2015), but higher than the 16% reported by breast cancer survivors with CIPN in the United States (Wonders & Drury, 2012). The current findings are consistent with previous studies of cancer survivors that found that self-reported rates of physical activity ranged from 30% to 37% (Beasley et al., 2012; Pinto & Ciccolo, 2011).

Compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had fewer years of education, were less

likely to be married or partnered, and reported a lower annual household income. Although these characteristics were not evaluated in previous CIPN studies (Kneis et al., 2019; Mols et al., 2015; Wonders et al., 2013), evidence from the general population suggests that these same demographic characteristics are associated with lower levels of exercise (Bird et al., 2015). In several studies (AuYoung et al., 2016; Clifford et al., 2018; Hirschey et al., 2020), lacking someone to help motivate an individual to exercise and not having sufficient resources to join a gym or exercise group, as well as lack of time, were cited as significant barriers to increasing physical activity.

Consistent with previous studies, compared to the other two exercise groups, survivors in the NoEx group had a higher BMI (Beasley et al., 2012; Blanchard et al., 2010) and poorer functional status (Su et al., 2014). However, for all exercise groups, survivors' BMIs were in the overweight range (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016). In terms of functional status, the decrement found between the RecEx and NoEx groups represents not only a statistically significant but also a clinically meaningful decrease in KPS score (Cohen's d = 0.7). In addition, and consistent with reports from the general population (Pedersen & Saltin, 2015; Roberts et al., 2015), compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had a worse comorbidity profile. Although no differences were found among the exercise groups in the occurrence rates for specific comorbidities, as noted in reports regarding the need to tailor exercise regimens for various chronic conditions (Haves et al., 2019; Pedersen & Saltin, 2015), clinicians need

TABLE 3. Pain Characteristics by Exercise Group

	NoEx (N	l = 60)	LessEx (N	l = 129)	RecEx (N	= 101)		
Characteristic	x	SD	Ā	SD	x	SD	Statistics	
Pain characteristics: lower extremity								
Duration of CIPN (years) Pain now Average pain Worst pain Days per week in pain Hours per day in pain	3.5 4.1 4.5 6.2 4.7 15.2	3.4 2.2 2.1 2.4 3 8.9	4.2 3.8 4.2 6 3.6 15.4	4.9 2.4 2.2 2.6 2.9 9.3	3.8 3.4 3.8 6.1 3.7 14.9	4.2 2.2 2.1 2.6 3 9.7	F = 0.6, p = 0.549 F = 1.74, p = 0.178 F = 1.94, p = 0.145 F = 0.18, p = 0.837 F = 2.94, p = 0.055 F = 0.08, p = 0.925	
Pain characteristics: upper extremity								
Duration of CIPN (years) Pain now Average pain Worst pain Days per week in pain Hours per day in pain	3.2 2.9 3.3 4.6 4.1 13.4	3.5 2.1 2.2 2.8 3 9.5	4.2 2.8 3.2 4.6 3.8 12.1	5 2.1 2.2 2.6 3 9.8	3.2 2.8 3 4.5 3.5 13.8	3.4 2.1 2 2.8 3 10.1	F = 1.36, p = 0.259 F = 0.03, p = 0.97 F = 0.25, p = 0.778 F = 0.04, p = 0.961 F = 0.67, p = 0.513 F = 0.61, p = 0.546	
Pain Interference Scale: lower extremity								
Balance Walking ability Enjoyment of life Normal work Sleep General activity Mood Relations with other people Sexual activity Interference score	4.9 4.7 4.1 3.7 3.6 3.5 2.8 2.5 1.6 3.5	3.2 3 2.9 2.8 3.2 2.8 2.5 2.7 2.8 2.7 2.8 2.4	3.8 3.6 3 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.6 1.7 0.9 2.7	3.1 3.1 2.8 3 2.9 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.4 2.1 2.3	3.3 3.1 2.4 2.5 2.1 2.6 2.5 1.5 0.8 2.3	3.1 3 2.5 2.8 2.4 2.8 2.6 2.3 1.9 2.3	$\begin{split} F &= 5.28, p = 0.006; 1 > 3 \\ F &= 4.92, p = 0.008; 1 > 3 \\ F &= 6.18, p = 0.002; 1 > 2 \text{ and } 3 \\ F &= 3.12, p = 0.046; 1 > 3 \\ F &= 5.3, p = 0.006; 1 > 3 \\ F &= 2.05, p = 0.13 \\ F &= 0.17, p = 0.848 \\ F &= 3.03, p = 0.05 \\ F &= 2.1, p = 0.125 \\ F &= 5.06, p = 0.007; 1 > 3 \end{split}$	
Pain Interference Scale: upper extremity								
Routine activities ^a Walking ability Enjoyment of life Normal work Sleep General activity Mood Relations with other people Sexual activity Interference score	3 0.8 3.2 3.4 2.5 3 2.5 0.9 0.9 2.3	3 2.2 3.2 2.9 3.1 3.1 2.6 1.6 2.3 2.3	2.7 0.6 2.1 3 1.4 2.6 1.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.8	2.8 1.6 2.8 2.9 2.5 2.7 2.5 1.8 2.2 2	2.3 0.2 1.8 2.4 1.3 2.2 2.1 0.8 0.4 1.5	2.6 0.7 2.3 2.5 2.5 2.1 1.6 1.3 1.6	F = 1, p = 0.373 $F = 2.17, p = 0.117$ $F = 3.98, p = 0.02; 1 > 3$ $F = 1.98, p = 0.141$ $F = 3.73, p = 0.026; 1 > 3$ $F = 1.27, p = 0.284$ $F = 1.15, p = 0.319$ $F = 0.1, p = 0.907$ $F = 1.26, p = 0.285$ $F = 2.32, p = 0.101$	
PQAS scores: lower extremity								
Numb Unpleasant Tingling Intense Dull Cramping Electrical	5.8 5.3 5.1 4.2 3.7 3.6 2.9	3 2.6 2.8 2.4 2.7 3.4 3.1	5.5 4.6 4.2 3.4 2.6 2.7 2.1	3.1 2.4 2.8 2.6 2.5 3.2 2.8	5.1 4.1 4 3 3.2 2.8 2.5	3 2.7 3.2 2.6 2.8 3.3 3.1	F = 1.21, p = 0.299 $F = 3.78, p = 0.024; 1 > 3$ $F = 2.82, p = 0.061$ $F = 3.94, p = 0.021; 1 > 3$ $F = 3.08, p = 0.047$ $F = 1.62, p = 0.199$ $F = 1.35, p = 0.262$	
							Continued on the next page	

IABLE 3. Pain Characteristics by Exercise Group (Continued)										
	NoEx (N = 60) LessEx (N = 129) RecEx (N = 101)		101)							
Characteristic	X	SD	X	SD	X	SD	Statistics			
PQAS scores: lower extremity (continued)										
Shooting	3.1	3	2.2	2.9	2.2	2.8	F = 1.97, p = 0.141			
Sharp	2.7	2.8	2.1	2.9	2.6	3.1	F = 0.98, p = 0.376			
Aching	3.2	3.1	2.2	2.6	2	2.8	F = 3.84, p = 0.023; 1 > 3			
Heavy	2.4	2.8	2.2	2.7	2	2.8	F = 0.45, p = 0.638			
Cold	2.8	3.3	2.2	2.9	1.9	2.7	F = 1.88, p = 0.155			
Radiating	2.7	3.1	1.8	2.5	2.1	2.9	F = 2.02, p = 0.134			
Hot	2.9	3.1	2	2.7	2.1	2.8	F = 2.23, p = 0.109			
Tender	2.7	2.9	1.7	2.4	2.2	2.6	F = 3.34, p = 0.037; 1 > 2			
Sensitive skin	2.7	3	1.9	2.2	1.7	2.3	F = 3.03, p = 0.05			
Throbbing	3	3.2	1.5	2.4	1.6	2.5	F = 6.76, $p = 0.001$; $1 > 2$ and 3			
Itchy	1.1	2.1	1.3	2.4	0.8	1.9	F = 1.04, p = .356			
Intense-surface pain	4.3	2.8	3.3	2.7	3	2.8	F = 3.98, p = 0.02; 1 > 3			
Intense-deep pain	3.7	2.7	3.3	2.9	3.2	2.9	F = 0.68, p = 0.508			
PQAS scores: upper extremity										
Numb	3.4	2.4	4.3	2.9	3.7	2.9	F = 2.09, p = 0.126			
Unpleasant	4.2	2.6	3.6	2.5	3.5	2.4	F = 1.06, p = 0.349			
Tingling	3.2	2.6	3.3	2.7	3.3	3.2	F = 0.01, p = 0.987			
Intense	3	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.3	F = 0.65, p = 0.523			
Dull	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	F = 0.68, p = 0.51			
Cramping	2.2	2.9	1.6	2.6	1.6	2.3	F = 0.95, p = 0.389			
Electrical	1.9	2.5	1.7	2.5	2.1	3	F = 0.34, p = 0.712			
Shooting	1.7	2.4	1.7	2.6	1.5	2.5	F = 0.18, p = 0.835			
Sharp	1.2	2	1.3	2.3	1.5	2.2	F = 0.2, p = 0.816			
Aching	2.5	3.1	1.8	2.3	1.5	2.3	F = 2.29, p = 0.104			
Heavy	1.6	2.5	1.5	2.5	0.9	1.8	F = 2, p = 0.138			
Cold	1.6	2.5	1.9	2.6	1.1	2.3	F = 2, p = 0.138			
Radiating	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.5	1	1.9	F = 1.83, p = 0.162			
Hot	1.6	2.4	1.1	2.2	0.8	1.8	F = 1.9, p = 0.152			
Tender	1.7	2.4	1.6	2.3	1.6	2.3	F = 0.05, p = 0.949			
Sensitive skin	1.9	2.4	1.3	2.1	1.2	2.1	F = 1.56, p = 0.212			
Throbbing	1.8	2.8	1.3	2.1	1.2	2.3	F = 1.06, p = 0.347			
Itchy	0.8	2	1	2	0.6	1.7	F = 0.87, p = 0.421			
Intense-surface pain	3	2.8	3.1	2.5	3.1	2.7	F = 0.03, p = 0.973			
Intense-deep pain	2.7	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.5	F = 0.34, p = 0.709			

TABLE 3. Pain Characteristics by Exercise Group (Continued)

^a Dressing, toileting, typing

CIPN-chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy; LessEx-patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; NoEx-patients who reported they did not exercise on a regular basis; PQAS-Pain Quality Assessment Scale; RecEx-patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week

Note. Pain intensity scores range from 0 (no pain) to 10 (most pain imaginable). Pain interference scores range from 0 (no interference) to 10 (completely interferes). Pain quality scores range from 0 (no sensation) to 10 (most possible sensation).

to identify survivors who warrant referrals to physical therapy. These therapists can develop exercise interventions that accommodate not only the deficits associated with CIPN, but also those that are required for other chronic conditions. Of note, no differences were found among the exercise groups in the types of chemotherapy regimens and doses of neurotoxic drugs the survivors received.

TABLE 4. Differences in Sensation and Balance Measures by Exercise Group										
		NoEx (N	NoEx (N = 60) LessEx (N = 129)		RecEx (N = 101)					
Characteristic	Site	X	SD	X	SD	X	SD	Statistics		
Sensation measures										
Light touch—UE sites (of 7)	Pad of thumb, thumb web- space, tip of index finger, tip of little finger, midway base of palm, one-third up anterior arm, two-thirds up anterior arm	0.2	0.6	0.3	1.1	0.1	0.7	F = 1.07, p = 0.345		
Light touch–LE sites (of 9)	Pad of great toe, pad of third toe, pad of fifth toe, base of heel, MP joint of great toe, MP joint of third toe, MP joint of fifth toe, midway along tibia, patella	2.5	2	2.2	2.5	2	2.2	F = 0.76, p = 0.471		
Cold–UE sites (of 4)	Pad of index finger, pad of little finger, dorsal MP area of the hand, wrist	1	0.8	0.8	1	0.8	1.1	F = 0.66, p = 0.515		
Cold–LE sites (of 4)	Top of great toe at first MP joint, pad of great toe, dorsum of foot midpoint, medial malleolus	2.2	1.1	2.3	1.2	2.3	1.1	F = 0.24, p = 0.784		
Pain–UE sites (of 7)	Pad of thumb, thumb web- space, tip of index finger, tip of little finger, midway base of palm, one-third up anterior arm, two-thirds up anterior arm	1.4	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.4	F = 0.92, p = 0.4		
Pain–LE sites (of 9)	Pad of great toe, pad of third toe, pad of fifth toe, base of heel, MP joint of great toe, MP joint of third toe, MP joint of fifth toe, midway along tibia, patella	3.6	2	3.4	2.3	3.2	2.2	F = 0.89, p = 0.411		
Vibration—UE sites (volts)	Dorsal IP joint of thumb, dorsal IP joint of index finger, ulnar prominence, lateral epicondyle	9.1	3.2	9.9	6	8.7	3.8	F = 1.71, p = 0.183		
Vibration—LE sites (volts)	Dorsal IP joint of great toe, medial malleolus, patella	31.2	12.1	27.3	11.8	26.5	12.3	F = 3.17, p = 0.044; 1 > 3		
Characteristic	Question	x	SD	X	SD	x	SD	Statistics		
Balance measures										
Severity of balance trouble (0-10)	At its worst, how severe is the trouble with your balance? (0 = not at all severe, 10 = extremely severe)	5.4	2.6	4.7	2.7	5.3	2.6	F = 1.22, p = 0.296		
Frequency of balance trouble (0 - 10)	How often do you have trouble with your balance? (0 = never, 10 = always)	5	2.9	4.9	3.1	4.5	2.9	F = 0.42, p = 0.656		
							Con	tinued on the next page		

TABLE 4. Differences in Sensation and Balance Measures by Exercise Group (Continued)									
		NoEx (N = 60)		LessEx (N = 129)		RecEx (N = 101)			
Characteristic	Question	x	SD	x	SD	x	SD	Statistics	
Balance measures (c	ontinued)								
Distress from balance trouble (0-10)	At its worst, how distressing is the trouble with your balance? (0 = not at all distressing, 10 = extremely distressing)	5.8	2.9	5.4	2.9	5.4	3	F = 0.44, p = 0.643	
TUG test (greater than 13.5 seconds equals higher risk for falls)	-	8.6	2.6	8	3.1	7.4	1.7	F = 4.4, p = 0.013; 1 > 3	
FAB test (less than 25 is associated with a higher risk of falls)	-	30.3	8.26	32.7	7	34.9	4.9	F = 8.83, p < 0.001; 1 < 2 and 3; 2 < 3	
Characteristic	Question	n	%	n	%	n	%	Statistics	
Balance measures									
Trouble with balance	Since your chemotherapy, have you had trouble with your balance?	49	82	84	65	65	64	χ^2 = 5.84, p = 0.054	

FAB—Fullerton Advanced Balance; IP— interphalangeal; LE—lower extremity; LessEx—patients who exercised less than 150 minutes per week; MP metacarpophalangeal; NoEx—patients who reported they did not exercise on a regular basis; RecEx—patients who exercised for the recommended 150 minutes or more per week; TUG—Timed Up and Go; UE—upper extremity

Note. When available, the clinically meaningful cut-point score is provided in parentheses next to the characteristic.

Note. Changes in sensation are reported for the dominant extremity.

This study is the first detailed examination of the associations between a comprehensive set of subjective measures of CIPN (i.e., duration, intensity, qualities, and interference) and self-reported levels of exercise. It is difficult to compare findings across previous studies of self-reported exercise (Mols et al., 2015; Wonders & Drury, 2012) because of the variability in the subjective measures that were used. Although the authors found no differences among the exercise groups in the duration of CIPN and the severity of upper and lower extremity pain, these findings require confirmation because none of the previous studies reported on differences in either of these characteristics. Findings regarding the effects of exercise on pain intensity in patients with cancer (Syrjala et al., 2014) and patients with chronic pain (Geneen et al., 2017; Naugle et al., 2012) are inconsistent. For example, in two studies of patients with cancer, one found a decrease (Griffith et al., 2009) and the other found no change (Cho et al., 2012) in pain intensity. In the two reviews of the effects of exercise on chronic noncancer pain (Geneen et al., 2017;

Naugle et al., 2012), the authors suggested that the effects of exercise on pain intensity were inconsistent and were dependent on the type of exercise and the type of chronic pain evaluated.

Although no differences were found among the exercise groups in any of the pain quality scores in the upper extremities, which is consistent with previous reports (Duregon et al., 2018; Kneis et al., 2019; Mols et al., 2015), compared to the RecEX group, survivors in the NoEx group reported higher scores for unpleasant, intense, aching, and throbbing sensations. The lack of effect of exercise on pain qualities in the hands may be partially explained by the fact that the most common type of exercise in the current study was walking, which is not likely to have an effect on symptoms in the upper extremities.

Compared to the RecEX group, survivors in the NoEX group reported higher pain interference scores in their lower extremities for sleep, enjoyment of life, normal work, walking ability, and balance and in their upper extremities for enjoyment of life and sleep. Although prior studies reported significant improvements in CIPN20 scores associated with exercise (Kneis et al., 2019; Mols et al., 2015), no studies have used the interference items from the Brief Pain Inventory (BPI). The authors adapted one of the BPI items to assess the effects of CIPN on upper extremity function (i.e., interference with routine activities like dressing, toileting, and typing), but additional research is needed using items that are more specific to hand- and arm-related activities (e.g., manipulation of small objects), as well as exercises that are tailored to the upper extremities.

Of all objective measures of sensation that were evaluated, vibration was the only one that demonstrated significant between-group differences. Compared to the RecEx group, survivors in the NoEx group had higher vibration thresholds in the lower extremities. These findings are consistent with a pilot study that found significant improvements in vibration thresholds but no differences in light touch sensation in patients with cancer randomized to either sensorimotor training or whole-body vibration training (Streckmann et al., 2019).

Although from 64% (RecEx) to 82% (NoEx) of the survivors reported balance problems that were moderately severe, frequent, and distressing, no differences were found among the exercise groups in their self-reports of balance problems. However, compared to the RecEX group, survivors in the NoEx group had worse scores on the TUG and FAB tests. These results are consistent with the findings from a systematic review on the effects of exercise in patients with CIPN undergoing active treatment (Duregon et al., 2018), as well as findings in the geriatric literature that demonstrate that exercise decreases the risk of falls (Guirguis-Blake et al., 2018; Sherrington et al., 2017).

Limitations

Several limitations warrant consideration. In this study, because only survivors who had received platinum- and/or taxane-containing regimens were evaluated, the authors cannot determine whether these findings generalize to survivors who received other types of neurotoxic chemotherapy. The cross-sectional nature of this study limits the authors' ability to determine causal associations between various CIPN characteristics and levels of physical activity. Although levels of exercise prior to and during chemotherapy were not evaluated, findings from one study suggest that level of physical activity prior to a cancer diagnosis is a strong predictor of activity as many as 10 years postdiagnosis (Mason

KNOWLEDGE TRANSLATION

- Clinicians should educate survivors about the benefits of regular exercise.
- Clinicians need to inform survivors with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) of the benefits of regular exercise and monitor their level of adherence with a prescribed exercise regimen.
- Information can be used to tailor exercise regimens for sedentary survivors, as well as for survivors with higher levels of comorbidity and co-occurring CIPN.

et al., 2013). Self-reported levels of exercise, rather than objective measures of exercise, are susceptible to recall and social desirability biases (Brenner & DeLamater, 2016), but self-reported physical activity is moderately correlated with data obtained using an accelerometer (Limb et al., 2019; Nelson et al., 2019; Sloane et al., 2009).

Implications for Nursing

Despite these limitations, these findings suggest that the lack of regular exercise in cancer survivors is associated with worse scores on subjective and objective measures of CIPN and objective balance scores. With the projected increase in the number of cancer survivors in the United States to 20 million by 2026 (Miller et al., 2019), as well as the lack of effective treatments for numbness, tingling, and pain associated with CIPN (Hershman et al., 2014), it is critical to evaluate cost-effective and readily available strategies (e.g., walking) to improve CIPN symptoms and balance problems. A growing body of evidence suggests that patients and survivors can safely engage in moderate amounts of exercise during and after cancer treatment (Schmitz et al., 2019; Segal et al., 2017; Stout et al., 2017). Based on these real-world findings, as well as the findings from a limited number of studies on the efficacy of exercise for CIPN symptoms and balance problems that suggest benefits (Andersen Hammond et al., 2019; Kneis et al., 2019; McCrary et al., 2019), clinicians can recommend walking as a therapeutic option, as well as provide referrals to physical therapy for additional strength and balance training.

Conclusion

Prospective longitudinal studies are needed to determine the optimal dose and types of exercise that are necessary to prevent and treat CIPN symptoms and balance problems. In addition, preclinical and clinical studies are warranted to determine the mechanisms that underlie the therapeutic benefits of exercise for CIPN.

Anna Wilcoxon, RN, MS, is a triage RN at the Pacific Hematology Oncology Association and a master's student in the School of Nursing at the University of California, San Francisco; Kord M. Kober, PhD, is an assistant professor and Carol Viele, RN, MS, is an associate clinical professor, both in the School of Nursing, Kimberly Topp, PT, PhD, is a professor and chair emeritus, Betty Smoot, PT, DPTSc, is an associate professor, Gary Abrams, MD, is a professor of neurology, and Margaret Chesney, PhD, is a professor of medicine, all in the School of Medicine, and Steven M. Paul, PhD, is a research data analyst in the School of Nursing, all at the University of California, San Francisco; Yvette P. Conley, PhD, is a professor in the School of Nursing at the University of Pittsburgh in Pennsylvania; and Jon D. Levine, MD, PhD, is a professor in the School of Medicine and Christine Miaskowski, RN, PhD, is a professor in the School of Nursing, both at the University of California, San Francisco. Miaskowski can be reached at chris.miaskowski@ucsf.edu, with copy to ONFEditor@ ons.org. (Submitted May 2020. Accepted July 7, 2020.)

This study was funded by the National Cancer Institute (CA151692). Miaskowski is supported by a grant from the American Cancer Society. This project was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, National Institutes of Health (NIH), through University of California, San Francisco–Clinical and Translational Science Institute Grant Number UL1 TR000004. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. Recruitment was facilitated by the Dr. Susan Love Foundation for Breast Cancer Research's Love Research Army.

Kober, Topp, Chesney, Levine, and Miaskowski contributed to the conceptualization and design. Kober, Abrams, and Miaskowski completed the data collection. Kober, Paul, and Miaskowski provided statistical support. Wilcoxon, Kober, Chesney, Conley, and Miaskowski provided analysis. Wilcoxon, Kober, Viele, Topp, Smoot, Abrams, Chesney, Conley, Levine, and Miaskowski contributed to the manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- Andersen Hammond, E., Pitz, M., & Shay, B. (2019). Neuropathic pain in taxane-induced peripheral neuropathy: Evidence for exercise in treatment. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair*, 33(10), 792–799. https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968319860486
- AuYoung, M., Linke, S.E., Pagoto, S., Buman, M.P., Craft, L.L., Richardson, C.R., . . . Sheinfeld Gorin, S. (2016). Integrating physical

activity in primary care practice. *American Journal of Medicine*, 129(10), 1022–1029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.02.008

- Babor, T.F., Higgins-Biddle, J.C., Saunders, J.B., & Monteiro, M.G. (2001). AUDIT: The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test: Guidelines for use in primary care (2nd ed.). World Health Organization.
- Beasley, J.M., Kwan, M.L., Chen, W.Y., Weltzien, E.K., Kroenke, C.H., Lu, W., . . . Caan, B.J. (2012). Meeting the physical activity guidelines and survival after breast cancer: Findings from the After Breast Cancer Pooling Project. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 131(2), 637–643. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-011-1770-1
- Bell-Krotoski, J.A. (2002). Sensibility testing with Semmes-Weinstein monofilaments. In J.M. Hunter, E.J. Mackin, & E.D. Callahan (Eds.), *Rehabilitation of the Hand and Upper Extremity* (5th ed.). Mosby, Inc.
- Bird, Y., Lemstra, M., Rogers, M., & Moraros, J. (2015). The relationship between socioeconomic status/income and prevalence of diabetes and associated conditions: A cross-sectional population-based study in Saskatchewan, Canada. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 14, 93. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12939-015-0237-0
- Blanchard, C.M., Stein, K., & Courneya, K.S. (2010). Body mass index, physical activity, and health-related quality of life in cancer survivors. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 42(4), 665–671. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.ob013e3181bdc685
- Brenner, P.S., & DeLamater, J. (2016). Lies, damned lies, and survey self-reports? Identity as a cause of measurement bias. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 79(4), 333–354. https://doi.org/10 .1177/0190272516628298
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). About adult BMI. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https:// www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi
- Chan, R.J., Gordon, L.G., Tan, C.J., Chan, A., Bradford, N.K., Yates, P., . . . Miaskowski, C. (2019). Relationships between financial toxicity and symptom burden in cancer survivors: A systematic review. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 57(3), 646–660.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2018.12.003
- Cho, M.H., Dodd, M.J., Cooper, B.A., & Miaskowski, C. (2012). Comparisons of exercise dose and symptom severity between exercisers and nonexercisers in women during and after cancer treatment. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 43(5), 842–854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman .2011.05.016
- Clifford, B.K., Mizrahi, D., Sandler, C.X., Barry, B.K., Simar, D., Wakefield, C.E., & Goldstein, D. (2018). Barriers and facilitators of exercise experienced by cancer survivors: A mixed methods systematic review. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 26(3), 685–700. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00520-017-3964-5
- Cooper, M.A., Kluding, P.M., & Wright, D.E. (2016). Emerging relationships between exercise, sensory nerves, and neuropathic pain. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 10, 372. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2016.00372

- Downie, W.W., Leatham, P.A., Rhind, V.M., Wright, V., Branco, J.A., & Anderson, J.A. (1978). Studies with pain rating scales. *Annals* of *Rheumatic Disease*, 37(4), 378–381. https://doi.org/10.1136/ ard.37.4.378
- Duregon, F., Vendramin, B., Bullo, V., Gobbo, S., Cugusi, L., Di Blasio, A., . . . Ermolao, A. (2018). Effects of exercise on cancer patients suffering chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy undergoing treatment: A systematic review. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, 121, 90–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .critrevonc.2017.11.002
- Garrow, A.P., & Boulton, A.J.M. (2006). Vibration perception threshold—A valuable assessment of neural dysfunction in people with diabetes. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews*, 22(5), 411–419. https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.657
- Geneen, L.J., Moore, R.A., Clarke, C., Martin, D., Colvin, L.A., & Smith, B.H. (2017). Physical activity and exercise for chronic pain in adults: An overview of Cochrane Reviews. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD011279. https://doi.org/10 .1002/14651858.CD011279.pub3
- Griffith, K., Wenzel, J., Shang, J., Thompson, C., Stewart, K., & Mock, V. (2009). Impact of a walking intervention on cardiorespiratory fitness, self-reported physical function, and pain in patients undergoing treatment for solid tumors. *Cancer*, 115(20), 4874–4884. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.24551
- Guirguis-Blake, J.M., Michael, Y.L., Perdue, L.A., Coppola, E.L., & Beil, T.L. (2018). Interventions to prevent falls in older adults: Updated evidence report and systematic review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. JAMA, 319(16), 1705–1716. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.21962
- Hayes, S.C., Newton, R.U., Spence, R.R., & Galvão, D.A. (2019). The Exercise and Sports Science Australia position statement: Exercise medicine in cancer management. *Journal of Science* and Medicine in Sport, 22(11), 1175–1199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .jsams.2019.05.003
- Hernandez, D., & Rose, D.J. (2008). Predicting which older adults will or will not fall using the Fullerton Advanced Balance scale. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 89(12), 2309–2315. https://doi.org/S0003-9993(08)00832-0
- Hershman, D.L., Lacchetti, C., Dworkin, R.H., Lavoie Smith, E.M., Bleeker, J., Cavaletti, G., . . . Loprinzi, C.L. (2014). Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *Journal of Clinical Oncol*ogy, 32(18), 1941–1967. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2013.54.0914
- Hirschey, R., Bryant, A.L., Macek, C., Battaglini, C., Santacroce, S., Courneya, K.S., . . . Sheeran, P. (2020). Predicting physical activity among cancer survivors: Meta-analytic path modeling of longitudinal studies. *Health Psychology*, *39*(4), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1037/hea0000845
- Jensen, M.P., Dworkin, R.H., Gammaitoni, A.R., Olaleye, D.O., Oleka, N., & Galer, B.S. (2005). Assessment of pain quality in chronic neuropathic and nociceptive pain clinical trials with

the Neuropathic Pain Scale. *Journal of Pain*, 6(2), 98–106. https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.jpain.2004.11.002

- Jensen, M.P., Gammaitoni, A.R., Olaleye, D.O., Oleka, N., Nalamachu, S.R., & Galer, B.S. (2006). The Pain Quality Assessment Scale: Assessment of pain quality in carpal tunnel syndrome. *Journal of Pain*, 7(11), 823–832.
- Kami, K., Tajima, F., & Senba, E. (2017). Exercise-induced hypoalgesia: Potential mechanisms in animal models of neuropathic pain. Anatomical Science International, 92(1), 79–90.
- Karnofsky, D. (1977). Performance scale. Plenum Press.
- Kerckhove, N., Collin, A., Condé, S., Chaleteix, C., Pezet, D., & Balayssac, D. (2017). Long-term effects, pathophysiological mechanisms, and risk factors of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathies: A comprehensive literature review. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 8, 86.
- Kneis, S., Wehrle, A., Müller, J., Maurer, C., Ihorst, G., Gollhofer, A., & Bertz, H. (2019). It's never too late—Balance and endurance training improves functional performance, quality of life, and alleviates neuropathic symptoms in cancer survivors suffering from chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: Results of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Cancer*, 19, 414.
- Langford, D.J., Schmidt, B., Levine, J.D., Abrams, G., Elboim, C., Esserman, L., . . . Miaskowski, C. (2015). Preoperative breast pain predicts persistent breast pain and disability after breast cancer surgery. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 49(6), 981–994.
- Limb, E.S., Ahmad, S., Cook, D.G., Kerry, S.M., Ekelund, U., Whincup, P.H., . . . Harris, T. (2019). Measuring change in trials of physical activity interventions: A comparison of self-report questionnaire and accelerometry within the PACE-UP trial. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 16, 10.
- Mason, C., Alfano, C.M., Smith, A.W., Wang, C.Y., Neuhouser, M.L., Duggan, C., . . . McTiernan, A. (2013). Long-term physical activity trends in breast cancer survivors. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention*, 22(6), 1153–1161.
- Mathias, S., Nayak, U.S., & Isaacs, B. (1986). Balance in elderly patients: The "get-up and go" test. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 67(6), 387–389.
- McCrary, J.M., Goldstein, D., Sandler, C.X., Barry, B.K., Marthick, M., Timmins, H.C., . . . Park, S.B. (2019). Exercise-based rehabilitation for cancer survivors with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 27(10), 3849–3857.
- Miaskowski, C., Mastick, J., Paul, S.M., Topp, K., Smoot, B., Abrams, G., . . . Levine, J.D. (2017). Chemotherapy-induced neuropathy in cancer survivors. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 54(2), 204–218.e2.
- Miller, K.D., Nogueira, L., Mariotto, A.B., Rowland, J.H., Yabroff, K.R., Alfano, C.M., . . . Siegel, R.L. (2019). Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2019. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 69(5), 363–385. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21565
- Mols, F., Beijers, A.J.M., Vreugdenhil, G., Verhulst, A., Schep, G., & Husson, O. (2015). Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, physical activity and health-related quality of life among

colorectal cancer survivors from the PROFILES registry. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 9(3), 512–522.

- Naugle, K.M., Fillingim, R.B., & Riley, J.L., 3rd. (2012). A metaanalytic review of the hypoalgesic effects of exercise. *Journal of Pain*, 13(12), 1139–1150.
- Nelson, S.H., Natarajan, L., Patterson, R.E., Hartman, S.J., Thompson, C.A., Godbole, S.V., . . . Kerr, J. (2019). Physical activity change in an RCT: Comparison of measurement methods. *American Journal of Health Behavior*, 43(3), 543–555.
- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (2018). Healthy People 2020: Data search–Physical activity. https://bit .ly/33HOxo6
- Papanas, N., & Ziegler, D. (2011). New diagnostic tests for diabetic distal symmetric polyneuropathy. *Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications*, 25(1), 44–51. https://doi.org/S1056-8727(09)00097-X
- Pedersen, B.K., & Saltin, B. (2015). Exercise as medicine— Evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine in Science and Sports*, 25(3, Suppl.), 1–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12581
- Pinto, B.M., & Ciccolo, J.T. (2011). Physical activity motivation and cancer survivorship. In K. Courneya & C. Friedenreich, *Physical Activity and Cancer* (Vol. 186, pp. 367–387). Springer.
- Posternak, V., Dunn, L.B., Dhruva, A., Paul, S.M., Luce, J., Mastick, J., . . . Miaskowski, C. (2016). Differences in demographic, clinical, and symptom characteristics and quality of life outcomes among oncology patients with different types of pain. *Pain*, 157(4), 892–900.
- Postma, T.J., Aaronson, N.K., Heimans, J.J., Muller, M.J., Hildebrand, J.G., Delattre, J.Y., . . . Lucey, R. (2005). The development of an EORTC quality of life questionnaire to assess chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: The QLQ-CIPN20. European Journal of Cancer, 41(8), 1135–1139.
- Roberts, K.C., Rao, D.P., Bennett, T.L., Loukine, L., & Jayaraman, G.C. (2015). Prevalence and patterns of chronic disease multimorbidity and associated determinants in Canada. *Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention in Canada*, 35(6), 87–94.
- Rose, D.J., Lucchese, N., & Wiersma, L.D. (2006). Development of a multidimensional balance scale for use with functionally independent older adults. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 87(11), 1478–1485.
- Sangha, O., Stucki, G., Liang, M.H., Fossel, A.H., & Katz, J.N.
 (2003). The Self-Administered Comorbidity Questionnaire:
 A new method to assess comorbidity for clinical and health services research. *Arthritis Care and Research*, 49(2), 156–163.
- Schmitz, K.H., Campbell, A.M., Stuiver, M.M., Pinto, B.M., Schwartz, A.L., Morris, G.S., . . . Matthews, C.E. (2019). Exercise is medicine in oncology: Engaging clinicians to help patients move through cancer. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 69(6), 468–484.
- Segal, R., Zwaal, C., Green, E., Tomasone, J.R., Loblaw, A., & Petrella, T. (2017). Exercise for people with cancer: A systematic review. *Current Oncology*, 24(4), e290–e315.
 Sherrington, C., Michaleff, Z.A., Fairhall, N., Paul, S.S., Tiedemann,

A., Whitney, J., . . . Lord, S.R. (2017). Exercise to prevent falls in older adults: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51(24), 1750–1758.

- Sloane, R., Snyder, D.C., Demark-Wahnefried, W., Lobach, D., & Kraus, W.E. (2009). Comparing the 7-day physical activity recall with a triaxial accelerometer for measuring time in exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 41(6), 1334–1340.
- Stout, N.L., Baima, J., Swisher, A.K., Winters-Stone, K.M., & Welsh, J. (2017). A systematic review of exercise systematic reviews in the cancer literature (2005–2017). *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 9(9S2), S347–S384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2017.07.074
- Streckmann, F., Lehmann, H.C., Balke, M., Schenk, A., Oberste, M., Heller, A., . . . Baumann, F.T. (2019). Sensorimotor training and whole-body vibration training have the potential to reduce motor and sensory symptoms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy—A randomized controlled pilot trial. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 27(7), 2471–2478.
- Streckmann, F., Zopf, E.M., Lehmann, H.C., May, K., Rizza, J., Zimmer, P., . . . Baumann, F.T. (2014). Exercise intervention studies in patients with peripheral neuropathy: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, 44(9), 1289–1304.
- Su, C.C., Lee, K.D., Yeh, C.H., Kao, C.C., & Lin, C.C. (2014). Measurement of physical activity in cancer survivors: A validity study. *Journal of Cancer Survivorship*, 8(2), 205–212.
- Syrjala, K.L., Jensen, M.P., Mendoza, M.E., Yi, J.C., Fisher, H.M., & Keefe, F.J. (2014). Psychological and behavioral approaches to cancer pain management. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 32(16), 1703–1711. https://doi.org/10.1200/jc0.2013.54.4825
- Tofthagen, C.S., McMillan, S.C., & Kip, K.E. (2011). Development and psychometric evaluation of the Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy Assessment Tool. *Cancer Nursing*, *34*(4), E10–E20. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.ob013e31820251de
- Viswanathan, V., Snehalatha, C., Seena, R., & Ramachandran, A. (2002). Early recognition of diabetic neuropathy: Evaluation of a simple outpatient procedure using thermal perception. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 78(923), 541–542. https://doi.org/10 .1136/pmj.78.923.541
- Vollmers, P.L., Mundhenke, C., Maass, N., Bauerschlag, D., Kratzenstein, S., Röcken, C., & Schmidt, T. (2018). Evaluation of the effects of sensorimotor exercise on physical and psychological parameters in breast cancer patients undergoing neurotoxic chemotherapy. *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*, 144(9), 1785–1792. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-018-2686-5
- Wonders, K.Y., & Drury, D.G. (2012). Current exercise behaviors of breast cancer patients diagnosed with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. *Journal of Integrative Oncology*, 1(1), 1000103. https://doi.org/10.4172%2F2329-6771.1000103
- Wonders, K.Y., Whisler, G., Loy, H., Holt, B., Bohachek, K., & Wise, R. (2013). Ten weeks of home-based exercise attenuates symptoms of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in breast cancer patients. *Health Psychology Research*, 1(3), e28. https://doi.org/10.4081/hpr.2013.1450